The Uncensored Truth

The LIGHT

ISSUE 31 Monthly

A People-Funded Paper
www.thelightpaper.co.uk



Freedom of movement under attack

OUR local councils should prioritise the people, not Net Zero Page 2

Agenda of lies to control us

WORLD IS AWASH WITH DISINFORMATION

PEOPLE often ascribe failures and disasters to incompetence, greed or corruption. And while these play a part, there is a plan in place to continue to degrade everyone’s standard of living to the point where we will be grateful for handouts - a universal basic income. Because they have killed off all the jobs and don’t want to be lynched, they will hand out money - but it will be in the form of programmable CBDC (central bank digital currency).

If this is news to you, then don’t be surprised – the bankers own all of the media channels and big tech firms, and they are never, ever going to tell you the truth about what they are doing and what they want, and of course have the money-creation power to buy everyone off.

Here are five of the many ways we are lied to and deceived, in order to deprive and control us:



Psychology – using mass media and education systems is the best way to conform the minds of the masses to whatever you want them to believe - just ask any totalitarian. Using Hollywood films and TV shows, they can prime our imaginative minds to accept anything – extraterrestrials, dinosaurs, evil masterminds always getting defeated. And by using culture – books, music, fashion, celebrities and the ‘glossy’ media – they can get false notions to pervade through society: ‘I am God’, ‘children are a burden’, etc.

Economy – the commodity that we all use to exchange (currency) is controlled and manipulated in order to make us poorer, through asset bubbles, depressions, inflation, financialisation and control of interest rates. The pound, dollar or euro in your pocket is fiat currency, created out of thin air, and earning its creators a percentage while we struggle with ever-higher prices and wages that never keep pace to match.

Terrorism – false flags have been used since ships were the leading form of international warfare, and while 9/11 will cause endless debate (by design), the reason you know it was a false flag is because of what resulted: the Patriot Act, Homeland Security, and surveillance cameras covering every major city on Earth. Islamic terrorism was the main story from 2001 to 2019, with endless attacks, and now it has achieved its aim of keeping everyone ‘safe’ with 24/7 surveillance, and now biosurveillance with facial recognition cameras, it has disappeared – as if Al-Qaeda and ISIS have given up their holy war and are growing veg and building communities nowadays.

Pandemics – 2020-22 saw the real life playout of Hollywood movies *Contagion* and *Outbreak*. Netflix was also showing docu-series *Pandemic* from Jan 2020. The blurring of news and fiction was evident to those paying attention, not least because they had also gamed out the whole scenario with Event 201 in October 2019, had the SPARS 2025 document from 2017, and the Rockefeller Foundation’s ‘Operation Lockstep’ in 2010. There is no scientific evidence for contagion; we get ill as we detox from time to time, our symptoms are the body’s defence against the toxins. So if a fever and high temperature are what is needed, we should not try to counteract our body’s natural healing process. The health system is designed to do exactly that though, and cause untold side-effects for which they then need to continually find ‘cures’.

Climate change – H.L. Mencken said governments kept people in fear with endless ‘imaginary hobgoblins’, now including the weather, and mankind itself.

This is the ultimate virtue signalling suicide, and is also tied in with the false, propagandised idea of overpopulation. There is nothing wrong with the climate or the Earth, save for the mega corporations and banks polluting and privatising it; only they are now berating us for our tiny impact.

The idea that our very existence is the world’s biggest problem is certainly not going to lead to a better life for everyone. If you think depopulation is a good idea, then you are at one with the rulers, and pro-genocide.

- ***Help campaign against CBDC: https://cashisfreedom.uk***

Councils should prioritise the people, not Net Zero

It’s a choice between freedom and enslavement - the Earth will be fine

by **NIALL McCRAE**

THAMES Valley Police estimated two thousand, but anyone attending the rally in Oxford on February 18 against the Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) scheme knows that the true number was many times larger.

They came from across the country; I know of nearly a hundred fellow travellers from Sussex alone. Oxford is not the only city to announce prohibition of travel under the banner of Net Zero, but it became a focal point for resistance.

Many protestors were carrying on from marching against the covid-19 regime, having joined the dots on a broader plan to control the global population. This is not wild conspiracy theory; Klaus Schwab of the World Economic Forum wrote a book early on in the purported pandemic, titled *Covid-19: the Great Reset*, enthusing on the opportunities of lockdown and other coercive ‘public health’ interventions to radically change society.

It should now be clear that a cabal of corporations, bankers and non-governmental organisations, aided by progressive political leaders (the Davos set) is really running the world. They care not for the ordinary people, but for their own elevation to an elite-run technocracy. The contrived climate crisis is the means by which citizens are held in a tightening ratchet of supposedly ecological policies.

The Oxford LTN will charge residents for entering other areas of the city, and scores of streets have been blocked from main roads. Similar plans were declared by Bath, Canterbury and Edinburgh, and suddenly every local authority is getting in on the act. Mayor of London Sadiq Khan is a seasoned eco-zealot, and in August, the Ultra Low Emissions Zone (ULEZ) will expand to the whole of Greater London. Hard-working families who need a car for the school run, and cannot afford a newer compliant model, will be charged £62.50 per week.

A petition against ULEZ on the government website, with almost 50,000 signatures at the time of writing, shows that London is a doughnut; the map clearly shows that outer boroughs have had enough of being used as a cash cow for Khan’s ideological largesse.

Motoring is in a transitional phase, but the majority of the public seem unaware of the destination, naively believing that petrol and diesel cars are simply being replaced by electric vehicles, through a hybrid interim.



Thousands march in Oxford against Low Traffic Neighbourhood schemes

It would be practically impossible to charge the batteries of millions of electric cars, which are not ecologically clean, but are produced through intensive mining of rare minerals. Owners of electric cars will be fooled in the same way that Gordon Brown’s diesel policy two decades ago led to higher costs for converts.

Tax incentives for electric cars will eventually be removed, and charging will be ruinously expensive as energy bills continue to rise. The truth is that the authorities do not want people to own cars; they do not want freedom of movement. People need to understand the motives of their masters. The goal of technocracy is full control over population and resources, using the tremendous advances in surveillance technology to develop digital totalitarianism.

One of the first meetings taken by Grant Shapps, the government’s new Minister for Climate Change and Net Zero, was with Bill Gates, who has shifted from master vaccinator to climate change expert.

The computer salesman was always destined for a world role. His father, head of the abortion industry in the USA (Planned Parenthood), was a keen eugenicist. When the Club of Rome was founded in 1968, beginning the concerted ‘Earth above people’ climate crisis campaign in 1972, the depopulation agenda was afoot.

Schoolchildren are indoctrinated into believing that mankind is a stain on the Earth. The real reason for climate scaremongering is not to make the world greener and more sustainable, but to facilitate the New World Order, as described in Schwab’s Great Reset.

Think about it: if our leaders were genuinely concerned about plastic pollution, they would have been more concerned at the billions of facemasks, testing kits and syringes produced for covid-19. And there would not be paper straws in a plastic sheath.

Net Zero, like Zero Covid, is a con. Let’s do whatever we can to awaken friends and family to the dystopian designs of the technocrats. Putting it simply, it’s a choice between freedom and enslavement; the Earth will be fine.

TheLIGHT
Mar 2023 The Uncensored Truth

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free of the establishment we seek to hold to account.

For all subscription enquiries, please contact:
subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk
For all distribution and pre-order enquiries,
please email: **lightdistribution@mailbox.org**
For advertising enquiries, please contact Nicola at:
ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith **Sub-editor:** Harry Wundas
Layout: Mi.Ki **Distribution:** Cath Swann & Matt Smith
Advertising: Nicola Kelly **Subscriptions:** Ross & Rebecca Pename
Social Media: Jessica Paris, Milou & Nicola Kelly
Proofreading: David K, Jerry R , Alan I, Stevie M, Tracy S
Special thanks to every one of our distributors who volunteer every month to bring you the uncensored news.
To keep our paper FREE is not free - you can help by ordering advance copies, donating and subscribing at:
https://thelightpaper.co.uk

“How easy it is to make people believe a lie, and how hard it is to undo that work again!”
- Mark Twain

Former UK PM acknowledges ‘powerful economic establishment’

Liz Truss opens up about her brief tenure at number 10

by **IAN FANTOM**

PROBABLY for the first time ever, a former UK Prime Minister has acknowledged the existence of a ‘powerful establishment’ that dictates key policies to the Cabinet. Liz Truss was Prime Minister from September 6, 2022, to October 25, 2022, for just 50 days, making her the shortest-serving Prime Minister in the history of the United Kingdom.

Marking one hundred days since her resignation, she published an 8,000 word analysis in *The Sunday Telegraph*, explaining what went wrong. It was unusually detailed for a leading British politician, and such detail suggests a legitimacy to her case.

Boris Johnson’s resignation the previous July had taken her by surprise. She thought it was crazy to be deposing a leader who had secured an 80-seat Commons majority for the Conservative Party less than three years previously. She had no infrastructure in place for fighting a leadership election.

“What we really needed,” she wrote, “was a change in policy direction and mindset in order to kick-start a return to sustainable economic growth.” That meant bringing the government more into line with traditional Conservative Party values of low taxation in order to stimulate the economy. “I didn’t see anyone bidding for the leadership who would argue for a fundamentally new economic approach,” she explained. Instead, she sensed increasing resistance within the government to the essential proposals to diverge from EU rules.

She describes the campaign as brutal, with name-calling from ‘friendly fire’. In the end, she and Rishi Sunak were the two candidates put forward to the rank and file of the Conservative Party, where her message of a return to Conservative economics resonated with their members. With that mandate from her party members, she entered Downing Street “determined to deliver the bold action” she had promised.

Her first action was to deal with the rapidly rising prices of energy: “We urgently needed to move away from the short-termist approach that had left the UK dependent on global energy prices and vulnerable to the actions of a hostile state with strategic energy interests,” she wrote.

This intervention, she claimed, prevented a major economic crisis. “Without the energy package, we would have seen businesses going bust over the winter and countless households plunged into poverty, unable to cope with the stratospheric rise in bills they would otherwise have faced,” she explained.

On September 23, her Chancellor, Kwesi Kwartang, announced a mini-budget. She reported some concerns that the announcement would not be accompanied by forecasts from the Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR), though such had been the case for many other fiscal announcements, including the covid-19 furlough scheme, which cost £70 billion, she pointed out.

“As I had spelled out during the leadership campaign, I wanted to go for growth by reversing the proposed rises to corporation tax and National Insurance, and implementing a programme of economic reform in order to prevent recession and stagnation and put the UK on a positive path,” she explained.

“But this was not in line with the instinctive views of the Treasury or the wider orthodox economic ecosystem,” she stated. She had previously seen “pessimism and scepticism about the growth potential of the British economy,” which she said were “sadly endemic at the Treasury.”


Liz Truss

“Instead, the focus from the Treasury was on micro, top-down tinkering, such as productivity initiatives trying to encourage firms to become more efficient, along with government intervention,” she wrote. Her plan for growth was “a conscious break from this orthodoxy.”

However, brewing in the background was an issue relating to pension funds, which neither of them had been made aware of: “At no point during any of the preparations for the mini-Budget had any concerns about liability-driven investments (LDIs) and the risk they posed to bond markets been mentioned to me, the Chancellor or any of our teams by officials at the Treasury,” she claimed.

“Readers will not be surprised that, given their impact on events, since leaving office I have spent some time looking into LDIs. I was shocked by what I discovered,” Truss wrote. “I myself knew nothing about LDIs, so I had a scout round the web. I didn’t find a concise definition; that wouldn’t be in the nature of financial services, of course. I used to say that if you want to know what a credit card is, ask a physicist. So I had a go at defining LDI.

“My understanding is that an LDI is a financial package designed to take away the instability in pension funds, arising from their contractual expenditure in the form of pensions, paid for by volatile sources of income, mainly bonds. However, LDIs appear to be heavily dependent on derivatives, which themselves are speculative. The pensions industry can adapt to slow changes in the bond market, but is vulnerable to fast changes. The most concise definition I did meet on the web was ‘a moneyspinner for asset managers’.”

She explained: “As LDIs entered the financial mainstream, with the Pensions Regulator seemingly encouraging their uptake, warnings started to be issued on the risks they could pose to financial markets – all unbeknownst to me at the time. Astonishingly, it turns out that the value of total assets in LDI strategies is equivalent to around 60 per cent of the UK’s GDP.” I presume that would have arisen through the use of derivatives.

She then recounted an intervention by the Bank of England the previous day, when they confirmed plans for a bond-selling programme: “Bond prices fell sharply, putting pension funds under pressure. Dramatic movements in the bond market had already begun, before the mini-budget had been announced.”

She makes a point that the setting up of the OBR had been effectively to make the OBR a driver of fiscal policy. In her view, their model “tends to undervalue the benefits of low taxes and supply-side reforms for economic growth, and overvalue the benefits of public spending.” This leads to policies being adjusted to fit their forecasts, only for those forecasts to be revised with each new iteration of the figures, forcing further policy change down the line.

They were also swimming against the tide internationally: “The IMF commented on distributional aspects rather than market stability, which it is hard to conclude was anything but politically motivated,” she claimed. Furthermore: “These interventions were, sadly, in tune with growing efforts on the world stage to limit competition between G7 economies, as evidenced by the proposed global minimum tax rates.”

She says she was “not given a realistic chance” to enact her policies, and refers to “a very powerful economic establishment” being behind it.

Her main concern now is in persuading the Conservative government to implement Conservative Party policies, and the main concern of the Parliamentary Conservative Party will be to retain their seats at the next General Election. However, there is now a much bigger issue at stake: who is running the country? This has nothing to do with whether Liz Truss was right or wrong in her economic strategy, because the outcome would have been the same whatever government we had.

The ‘very powerful economic establishment’ she describes clearly has influence across the board in Whitehall, and also at a high level internationally. As she points out, this is political, and involves the G7 and the IMF, as well as the Bank of England. One wonders how this could not also involve the world’s network of central banks centred on the Bank for International Settlements in Switzerland, the ‘private-public partnerships’ running the UN, the huge financial interests of Big Pharma and Big Tech, and the World Economic Forum, which seems to now be in charge of the world’s economic policies.

- ***https://www.telegraph.co.uk/politics/2023/02/04/liz-truss-downing-street-reflection-mini-budget-boris-johnson/***
- ***https://uk.style.yahoo.com/explainer-ldi-liability-driven-investment-114031736.html***

NHS whistle-blower reveals truth about pandemic

Cause of death reporting changed to suit narrative

by **THE ORIGINAL SAI**

IN 2016, the British government proposed and piloted a change to the process of how deaths were certified across all hospitals in the UK.

The Department of Health (DoH) proposed a switch to the 'Medical Examiner' (ME) system, and was sent to a number of different audiences for feedback and consultation. The ME system was already being piloted at two hospitals in the north. The results of the consultation are linked below.

Prior to the covid-19 pandemic, the death certification process involved entreating doctors of a patient to attend Bereavement Services/Patient Affairs to discuss the death and either a) refer the death to the Coroner, or b) write a Medical Certificate of Cause of Death (MCCD)

The MCCD states the cause of death, whereby a direct cause (1a) or contributing causes (1b) (1c) (1d) are stated along with co-morbidities (not directly causing the death) being written in (2) on the MCCD.

The MCCD is only ever a probable cause of death; it is not definitive. The only definitive way of determining an accurate and plausible cause of death is to refer the deceased patient to HM Coroner (if certain criteria are met), and for HM Coroner to accept and take on the case, resulting in a post mortem (PM) being conducted by a Histopathologist.

When a death is seen as natural, and there is nothing untoward, the MCCD is written by the treating doctor of a deceased patient. Usually this is an FY1, FY2, SHO or registrar that attends. It is rare for a treating consultant to attend, but they will finalise the cause of death.

A strict hospital hierarchy exists within the NHS for doctors. It is as follows, from lowest to highest rank: Foundation Year 1 (FY1), Foundation Year 2 (FY2), Senior House Officer (SHO), Registrar (Reg), Consultant, Clinical Lead, Medical Director.

Junior doctors will very rarely speak up, or challenge their seniors. A senior decision is seen as final, and it will be carried out and executed without any hesitance or questioning.

In my 5.5 years of experience in end-of-life care, I have only ever seen one junior doctor disagree with a proposed cause of death and challenge their consultant.

With the number of deaths that occur in a hospital, as you can imagine, there is a great deal of variation with regard to causes of death, as we have numerous different doctors writing an MCCD, and coming up with various different potential causes in different orders.

The proposed ME system would change this, as the government would now hire and pay one Medical Examiner to sit in every hospital and write all MCCDs for all deceased patients. This would effectively eliminate any variation in causes of death.

In 2016, when I heard of this proposal, I worked as a Bereavement Officer at a hospital in central London. My mentor/line manager at the time was a former Chief Nurse who managed Bereavement Services, and all hospital deaths would be controlled by her and the department.

We essentially carried a huge amount of power with regard to decision-making, as we would go through all patient notes following the death of a patient, and essentially guide and advise doctors on what would need to be written with regards to an MCCD or Coroner's Referral.

In my personal opinion, our role was to sit on the fence and act in the best interests of a deceased patient (and their families), but also to protect the hospital and our doctors from any potential negligence.

As you can imagine, many battles were fought over decisions about the cause of death of a patient, or a referral to the coroner, with a vast amount of doctors over the years.

FY2s and SHOs were particularly the worst with regard to carrying an arrogance of knowing what should be written on an MCCD, or stating that a patient didn't need to be referred to the Coroner (often stating that their consultant had given them instructions).

It is worth noting that Consultants are also only human and can be incorrect at times too. We have to remember that they are succeeded in hierarchy by a Clinical Lead and beyond that a Medical Director. Who have far more experience and knowledge.

When I asked my mentor in 2016, how the ME system would change things, I was told that Bereavement Services/Patient Affairs would become purely administrative and that the clinical judgement would fall to the Medical Examiner. The power and decision making with regards to MCCD/Coroners Referrals was being taken away not only from treating doctors but also from Bereavement Services/Patient Affairs/Bereavement Officers/Bereavement Service Managers/Directors of end-of-life care.

This decision making power was being handed solely to the Medical Examiner, who has not been involved in the treatment of a patient during an admission. I took all this information in at the time and acquired as much knowledge as I could from my mentor/line manager.

In 2016, I also happened to make a move and take up an opportunity to manage my own Bereavement Services at one of the largest hospital trusts in the whole of the UK. On average, I would oversee MCCD/Coroner Referrals for approx 1750 deaths on an annual basis.

I developed a very close working relationship and friendship with one of the Medical Directors (a doctor with the highest ranking in a hospital). This was especially helpful when having to challenge doctors with regards to MCCDs/Coroners Referrals.

Progressing to Director of End of Life Care, I became involved with the reporting of mortality rates, conducting mortality reviews and writing hospital policies. I had also developed an excellent working relationship with the HM Coroner who oversaw our Trust.

HM Coroner holds the power to investigate any hospital or trust with regards to a death or a number of deaths. A slight problem may arise, in that HM Coroner has an allegiance to the Crown and the Government.

When a death is reported to the Coroner. This was previously reported via telephone call by the treating doctor. A discussion was had with the Coroners Office and an direct outcome and instruction would come from the Coroner's Office, by way of HM Coroner (via a phonecall).

There is a fundamental flaw to this system, as there is no documentation of the decision and instruction from the Coroner. It comes via word of mouth. There is always room for error without any electronic documentation.

Every Hospital/Trust & HM Coroner will have a different system of reporting deaths. I personally made a decision to safeguard my hospital and the trust, by developing an electronic coroners referral form, which I proposed to our Coroner and developed after their agreement.

We now had documentation of every death being reported and every outcome. When reporting a death, the Coroner will look at a proposed cause of death and accept it, or reject the cause of death and take on the case (death of the patient), leading to an Inquest or a PM.

In 2019, our Medical Director, came into my office one morning and stated that the Board of Directors at the Hospital had made a decision to switch to the Medical Examiner System. Hearing the words ME system was a massive case of déjà vu (the conversation with my mentor in 2016).

I knew exactly what the ME system was, but I chose instead to play the fool and enquire what exactly the ME system was and what it meant for our service, my staff and our roles. Everything the Medical Director mentioned to me that day was a carbon copy of what I already knew.

I knew that my time in end-of-life care had come to an end. I'd reached the top and there was no more progress for me. Losing all power and decision-making to any ME coming into the hospital did not appeal to me. I'd already made up my mind that I needed to leave.

To be continued in next month's issue, with how the new method of reporting the cause of death allowed many to be labelled as covid-19 in 2020-21.

- ***https://tinyurl.com/ymz7hufj***
- ***https://tinyurl.com/mrx2w6zs***
- ***@TheOriginalSai - Ex-NHS professional | Former Director of End of Life Care | Current Creative Director | TRILLIONAIRE GENTS SQUAD ® |***

The needle and the damage done

by **GRAHAM CRAWFORD**



Photo: Austin Neill

I READ an article years ago about research into rare survivors of extreme suicide attempts.

Extreme as in jumping off the Golden Gate Bridge in San Francisco, where more than 1,800 people have plunged to their deaths, and only a few dozen have lived to tell the tale.

Researchers discovered through interviews that 95 per cent of those absolutely determined to end things were glad when they failed; glad to be given a second chance.

I developed a morbid fascination about the desperately tragic implications of that statistic: that the vast majority of those who succeeded in taking their own life would have preferred to have survived.

I contemplated on how many souls leaping off that San Francisco Bay bridge to their death changed their minds in the four-second plunge to hitting the water at 75mph and thought: “What have I done? I don’t want this. I want to live.”

One in twenty jumpers even survive the impact, only to drown or die of hypothermia in the freezing waters.

I thought of all this again when I read a comment below a video about the terrifyingly high levels of excess, and non-covid related, deaths throughout the world’s most vaccinated countries.

It stated: “I regretted it the moment the needle went in, and I’ve regretted it ever since.”

For that person, the irreversible leap into the unknown had been made, and there was no going back.

Depending on what figures you believe, around 50 million people in the UK and 5.5 billion worldwide made the leap.

Many remain in ignorance of what it truly means, but as reports and general chat leaks out about horrendous numbers of vaccine-related deaths and terrible side effects, how much longer before they are hit by the harsh reality of this particular water?

Psychologically, it will be a nightmare place to be in.

The very thought of that potentially ticking time bomb may be too much for many to bear. How will they cope? How will they and the rest of the world hold together under the weight of collective anxiety and anger at being callously misled and coerced into taking, often repeatedly, a highly suspect experimental injection they never actually needed?

Some weeks ago, I was in one of Glasgow’s busiest shopping streets at a memorial for those killed and severely injured by the covid injection, when a passer-by in her early seventies told me how she had ended up in A&E twice following the jab.

She had taken it very much against her instinct after being continuously hounded, badgered and guilt-tripped by her husband and other family members.

A year on, she was still clearly deeply angry at her husband - and also at herself for eventually succumbing to the bullying.

She said as much, and also admitted that because of it all, she no longer felt the same towards her husband, and did not know if her marriage of 50 years could survive.

This lady, and millions, possibly billions, of others may also have to deal with an enormous sense of utter betrayal by governments, scientists, Big Pharma, doctors, and all the other establishment institutions – not least of all the complicit corporate and national media.

The latter were the very people who should have sought to give us ‘fair, balanced and accurate reporting’, but who instead relentlessly terrified entire populations with their disproportionate and misleading fear messaging about the virus, and their endless threats of social exclusion or worse for dissenters of lockdowns, masks and the so-called vaccines.

But here is the thing: I believe that those of us who did not buckle under the strain of this pressure actually faced our own severe trauma.

We could see from early on that the covid emperor had no clothes - that it was all an exaggeration, a colossal illusion built on deception after deception, until the truth became the lie, and the lie became the truth.

To bear witness to this, and hold on to solid ground, was extremely difficult.

But I, and others, discovered we were not quite alone in this cruel dystopian nightmare. By the sheer will of spirit, we remain bloodied but unbowed; but there is so much still to heal. And that is a process I doubt will ever be complete. A scar is a scar; it may soften in time, but it never quite goes away.

I know I will never view governments in the same way again as I did pre-covid. Any residual trust has gone completely.

I will never again trust the BBC and the rest of the mainstream media, and, as a former journalist, that is a deep gash.

I will never quite trust doctors or nurses who fell into line and stayed silent – and still do.

Or so-called scientists and academics who, again, stayed silent, or were prepared to fudge the truth to protect their grants and jobs.

Or police who turned so easily from community-supporting crime fighters to unthinking, ruthless, masked-up, tooled-up government enforcers, breaking up peaceful protests and political meetings.

Or hysterical teachers and their unions who gave away their great lie that ‘the children must come first’.

Or most churches, temples and mosques for their complicit silence and hate-inspiring statements, such as ‘Jesus would want everyone to be vaccinated and to do otherwise is an act of selfishness’.

And then there are the neighbours, friends, family members and strangers who displayed a total lack of critical thinking; who put me, and my like, at risk with their compliance. They still do. What jeopardies do they hold for us in the future with their weak, blind and unnecessary obedience?

They were complicit in the theft of more than two years of my life.

My worldview has changed forever.

I am also now like a wary dog, constantly watchful of the abusive master should he attempt to raise his hand again.

Only now I know that no one is my master – or ever will be.

And do you know what else? I am one of a huge pack.

Charges against two freedom campaigners dropped

Legal victory shines light on coronavirus regulations

by **MARCUS BLACKETT**

THE Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) has recently decided to discontinue its prosecution of two prominent Stroud freedom activists, Marcus Blackett and Richard House.



Marcus Blackett (left) and Richard House

They had been accused of breaking the Tier 4 lockdown regulations that were in force on January 9, 2021.

The charge was that of 'participating in a gathering of more than two people in a public outdoor place'. According to the CPS's Notice of Discontinuance dated February 14, the decision was taken because 'a prosecution is not needed in the public interest'.

Marcus and Richard have the option of not dropping the case, and are actively considering electing to take the case to court, in order to have the important civil liberties issues surrounding the case aired in public.

In late 2020, the Stroud freedom group agreed that something needed to be done locally for the sake of everyone's mental health, in the face of the draconian coronavirus regulations. The UK Office for National Statistics was reporting a near doubling of rates of depression since the pandemic began.

In response to the crisis, half a dozen members of the freedom group decided to start walking around Stroud wearing 'Citizens' Conversation' sandwich-boards, inviting healing conversations with members of the public.

The walks were made on a regular basis around Stroud town centre from November 2020. Marcus and Richard wished to offer their support to those struggling mentally under the weight of the restrictions. They also wanted to protest against the imposition of the covid regulations.

On Saturday, January 9, 2021 three group members were walking around Stroud town wearing Citizens' Conversation boards. Marcus and Richard were arrested near the High Street by a police officer 'for breaking coronavirus regulations' by holding an alleged gathering. When they were arrested, Richard says he was talking with a healthcare worker who was clearly upset at what was happening under lockdown, and desperately needed someone to speak to.

Marcus and Richard were taken into custody and told they would receive a Fixed Penalty Notice, and later a Summary Justice Procedure Notice. They decided to take a stand and challenged the notices.

Following months of correspondence with the Chief Constable of Gloucestershire Constabulary, they discovered, having not been notified of any trial date, that they had been convicted of the offence in absentia, and saddled with criminal records and considerable fines.

Marcus and Richard then engaged the London solicitors, Murray Hughman, who, in October 2022, successfully applied for a retrial, persuading the Court to set aside the original convictions and fines, and to reopen the case, with a fresh trial ordered.

In so doing, the Court commented that, 'the important and novel issues raised with regard to the interference with human rights might well be worthy of examination by the UK Supreme Court'. Before the new trial took place, however, the CPS decided to drop the case.

The core concern with this legal case was the attack on civil liberties and constitutional rights that this arrest exemplified.

And with this case, Marcus and Richard were determined to have a clear line drawn in the sand so that in the future the police would not be able to overreach their powers, nor encroach any further into what were increasingly fragile civil liberties.

Andrew Rootsey, criminal defence lawyer at Murray Hughman Solicitors, said: "The frequently shifting sands of the coronavirus regulations created substantial confusion, and saddled many well-intentioned citizens with criminal records and punitive fines.

"The legislation was rushed through Parliament, poorly drafted and ill thought out. The regulations that were in place in January 2021 were completely inaccessible and opaque, and many, including the police and the courts, failed to understand or fully grasp them, leaving well-meaning people vulnerable to arbitrary and discriminatory decisions being made against them.

"These convictions were appalling, unsettling and in my opinion plainly wrong. The response to criminalise two men with very real and reasonable concerns about the mental health implications upon the local community, because of the draconian legislation passed, is completely disproportionate.

"Moreover, contrary to public, and often law enforcement understanding, the coronavirus regulations never completely prohibited protesting, even during periods of national lockdown.

"The interference with Marcus and Richard's fundamental human rights of freedom of expression and freedom of assembly cannot be said to be a proportionate response, given that both were engaging in legitimate protest."

Blackett said: "What still remains unclear is whether the right to protest was banned, because this is an unalienable right, not to mention illegal to attempt, unless expressly ordered by the Chief Constable. When the CCTV spotted our High Street protest, every other duty officer ignored it, all except for a sergeant who was in a village eight miles away at the time.

"I will be looking at laying charges if, indeed, it is the case that protests were not banned."

House, a chartered psychologist, said: "This is tremendous news for both ourselves and, more importantly, for the freedom movement more generally.

"There's no doubt that our brilliant legal team would have driven a coach and horses through all of it – and the CPS knew it. We would like to thank both our solicitors, the London criminal law firm Murray Hughman, and also the many friends and supporters who generously supported our legal fund.

"Thanks also to the great freedom-loving publishing editors who supported our case – Toby Young, Simon Best, Tom Raines and Darren Smith. Thank you, one and all, for enabling this great blow for freedom and human rights to be struck."

Resources

- ***Toby Young, 'Help two psychologists overturn their conviction for breaking coronavirus regulations', Daily Sceptic, November 23 2022; see https://tinyurl.com/236ttpky***
- ***Andy Rootsey, 'We're still resisting the continuing covid tyranny', The Light, Issue 28, 2022, p. 10; available at https://tinyurl.com/5ash6b4z***
- ***Caduceus magazine. Pair seeks legal case funds. Issue 108, 2022, p4***

Mass formation psychosis - a theory for our times

Men in white coats dangerously persuasive

by **SHANE FUDGE**

FIRST developed by Mattias Desmet in 2020, mass formation psychosis has become the go-to theory to explain macro-level shifts in societal norms, values and behaviours over the last three years.

Desmet argued that the data offered up by governments and health officials ahead of the covid-19 policy roll-out was justified by the predicted number of deaths extrapolated from the modelling frameworks.

In Sweden, for example, the 80,000 to 90,000 deaths predicted from not following the lockdown agenda simply did not happen – confounding Imperial College London's model by a factor of 15. Desmet was particularly interested in the way in which the majority of citizens readily conformed to the rhetoric around the necessity of lockdowns and other restrictions.

Mass formation theory (MFT) breaks with assumptions which have characterised ideological distinctions between left and right, instead drawing from 1950s/early 1960s social psychology. The central ideas in MFT highlight the role of conformity in enabling and consolidating social norms, drawing attention to the role of the group in ordering and 'regulating' the individual, in terms of the dominant social norms in any group, society or culture.

The central hypotheses in these studies were to ascertain the ways and circumstances in which individuals understand and process social cues.

Solomon Asch's study on conformity, for example, discovered that individuals would inexplicably give a wrong answer in his famous lines experiment, if the majority agreed on a different outcome. He discovered that individuals might do this even if they suspected that their answer was wrong. Coining the term 'cognitive dissonance', Asch concluded that, when faced with the dilemma of holding two simultaneous beliefs, resolution would involve an appraisal of peer pressure and the reward of remaining part of the 'in group'.

Dr Stanley Milgram later used ideas to explore conformity, asking the specific



Dr Stanley Milgram and the 'shock' machine

question: what made people obey authority figures during WW2, even though many were aware of the atrocities being carried out?

Milgram's psychological experiment involved a sample of 40 male participants, each of whom was shown into a room by a figure wearing a white doctor's coat. They were then told that there was a 'learner' in another room (whom they couldn't see but could hear) and that participants would be asking a series of questions.

If the learner got the question wrong, the participant was instructed to deliver a mild electric shock through a switch positioned in front of them (see Milgram's machine pictured above).

The participants were told that the shock they were administering would increase in intensity with the more questions that the learner failed to answer correctly. While the learners were not actually given any shock, it was discovered that many of the participants were willing to deliver increasing levels of shocks to subjects, with some going beyond the level at which the learners would, in theory, suffer a fatal shock.

Milgram concluded that the dependent variable in the experiment was the 'official figure' in the white coat, and their exhortations to 'carry on'.

That these studies are of their time, and almost impossible to replicate, given the legal requirements of contemporary academic research, there is a clear authenticity and a realism which Desmet felt perfectly reflected what has happened in our world since March 2020 - offering fertile ground on which to understand why people are behaving as they do.

With the benefit of hindsight, it is clear that the people overseeing this agenda understood the ways in which people could be persuaded and coerced to go along with their plans.

In the UK for instance, the credibility and cultural position of the NHS underpinned the multi-billion pound marketing campaign: 'do your bit to protect it from being overwhelmed'; the accompanying Thursday night hand clap for its selfless workforce for ten weeks running; the relentless TV advertising which took place.

The 'hands, face, space' mantra was repeated on daily government updates, and represented and reinforced visually on high streets, shops and other gathering places.

The power of this first few months of brainwashing cannot be understated. While the real consequences of the 'vaccine' rollout are becoming increasingly clear, Asch's theory of cognitive dissonance was clearly observed in the widespread ridicule of those challenging the official narrative, and highlighting the deaths and adverse reactions from the jab programme.

Many who took the shots are suffering repeated bouts of covid, yet many have been heard dismissing this with 'at least I won't end up in an ICT ward'.

Many people have continued to believe the narrative, even though the UK Government was flouting its own rules at the same time as telling people to stay away from loved ones, including dying friends and relatives. As the vaccine rollout has come under scrutiny – exemplified by the Pfizer admission that vaccines were never tested for transmission and, more starkly, as the excess and 'sudden deaths' come increasingly under the spotlight – the level of denial has remained breathtaking.

So, why do people continue to believe a narrative which is clearly coming apart at the seams? Desmet describes three broad groups of people to have emerged since March 2020: those who maintain belief in their government and institutional power, and the message that they deliver; those who have begun to challenge the messages they have been fed; and those who fully comprehend what is happening.

As Asch and Milgram discovered, belief in authority can trump facts or credible evidence when the right conditions are in place. This is clearly evidenced by a continued acceptance of politicians, the pharmaceutical industry, and the NHS.

Many have consolidated clear idiosyncrasies into their worldviews – notably continued testing post-vaccination, the obvious conflation of flu with covid, and the myth of asymptomatic illness.

The second group of people are those who are awakening from the initial spell. This process may have been instigated by an event (a family member dying from the jab), or by gradually joining the dots.

Desmet argues that reaching this 30-40% of the population is critical, because cognitive dissonance can be resolved by a greater awareness of the spell that has been cast by societal programming.

In the courts we earn our freedom

by **LANCE PEATLING**

Avoid trap of surrendering liberty for safety

FREEDOM is not given - its condition is earned, and the price is morality. The higher the price paid, the greater the freedom we enjoy.

Morality is nothing other than an individual acting upon their conscience. It is a belief system married to agency.

Morality is, then, the act itself. It is not, as is customarily mistaken, the belief, a thing which may later be proved to have been either right or wrong. Put differently, when our conscience perceives wrongdoing, then we are obliged to act and quickly. To be moral is to act speedily in the face of harm, and, in so doing, you evidence freedom.

It has been previously asserted that we all enjoy one right: to do whatever we wish save that which causes harm, as this right alone remedies the need for others.

Any infringement on that right is a breach of the peace. To cross the boundary where one's right ends and another's begins requires lawful excuse, and to do so (breach another's peace) it is simply necessary to assert the belief that you are right to do so.



Photo: Saffron Judiciary

Parliament would - and do - fool us and themselves into believing that they are sovereign and that they may unilaterally exercise authority over us. It is this belief, devastatingly wrong, that must be remedied in the court

This lawful excuse is always the first claim however it is so manifested, because a harm is any action without lawful excuse. In other words, we all believe that we act righteously and, as such, it can be tested.

Importantly, any belief genuinely held but later found upon testing to have been in error, is simply that: a mistake which is then remedied. This is the lived experience of every man, woman and child to have ever existed, insomuch as we all act mistakenly on occasion and subsequently atone for those mistakes.

Crucially then, the key question is of accountability. And there are those causing harm - public bodies or individuals - who will continue to do so, wittingly (a crime) or, in most cases, unwittingly (a mistake). And mistakes are great in number, stemming from that most basic of misunderstandings, our societal design.

And indeed, parliament would - and do - fool us and themselves into believing that they are sovereign and that they may unilaterally exercise authority over us. It is this belief, devastatingly wrong, that must be remedied in the courts.

It is to the court that we must turn, as they themselves re-affirmed in R v The Prime Minister [2019] UKSC 41, that: 'the courts have exercised a supervisory jurisdiction over the decisions of the executive for centuries.'

And for over 400 years, it has been and continues to be the case that our courts are based on equity; the court to apply its mind impartially to the matter in hand and considering only the evidence presented, establishing the truth based upon conscience.

By way of illustrative detour, I am a private person; a pig-farmer by trade. My interest in politics, government, or things other than to do with the welfare of pigs is minimal. Of course, for all other matters I have an MP, and their job is to be convinced that what the government wants is in the best interest of their constituents, otherwise they are obliged to say no, else they are unlikely to be an MP for very long.

That is how it works at a design level at least. For every governmental action, there must be parliamentary approval in some fashion or other. The government could not employ, for instance, the British Army to conduct wholesale surveillance on the British population without explicit parliamentary approval.

Neither could it implement wholesale behavioural change programmes on that same population, without again seeking parliamentary consent.

When government, with or without the approval of parliament, steps outside its boundary, then I am obligated to challenge its actions, and to do so using the courts which exist to remedy dispute.

Whether that is by my belief that they have acted unlawfully in surveilling me, or conducting psychological experimentation upon me, or causing my pigs distress due to a policy of forced vaccination, I have lawful excuse to dispute their course of action, and until such a time as that dispute is settled either way in court, I am under no compulsion to comply, and they are obligated to desist with their actions until resolution. This is the basis of an injunction, which anyone can take out against anyone.

If we are, as a people, to assert our right to do whatever we want absent causing harm, then we will have to take our grievance to court.

And we must have the court answer the argument as to whether tyranny - one person forcing their will upon another - is to be the law of the land, as government would have you believe, or to recognise the fundamental truth already enshrined in law of the exact opposite.

This truth was eloquently described by Benjamin Franklin: "Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety."

If you wish to be free, then you must stand in the way of harm.

● ***https://peacekeepers.org.uk/***

Reality is not a spectator sport - you're in the game

by **ROB RYDER & PATRICK QUANTEN**

Sit on the sidelines and the world defines you

WHAT is reality? The first thing to understand is that there *is* an objective reality; a reality that exists outside our own field of perception.

The Earth was created as a natural reality long before man appeared on the scene. The Bible states that the Garden of Eden already existed before God created Adam. Once we arrive in the universe, we process this reality with our minds.

Our perception of reality is ours alone, and totally unique to each individual. How we perceive that reality determines how we respond to it, how we behave. Each behaviour is in balance with how each person perceives the reality of their environment.

There is no wrong or right way, just the right one for that individual person. One may perceive it as threatening, others may see it as neutral or even beneficial, and each will respond from within their own perception of that objective reality.

It's not objective reality that dictates human behaviour but the meaning we give it, which creates a specific perception - a subjective reality. And this subjective reality creates our personal behaviour.

In 1938, a radio drama called *War of the Worlds* by Orson Welles was delivered so convincingly that some of the audience went into a state of panic, believing the U.S. was being attacked by aliens. Nothing at all was happening in the real world, but the belief of an alien invasion got into people's minds, and that created a perception which led to mass hysterical behaviour.

Fast forward 82 years, and we have a story coming out of Wuhan, China, where nothing unusual was actually happening. But the authorities, using the media outlets, released the story that an invisible agent was making people ill, instead of the usually occurring reasons. When you are able to sell a belief to people that puts them in a state of fear, especially when it's perceived to be life-threatening, then the need to survive takes away all critical thinking, as staying alive is all that matters.

The perception of the objective reality by every individual creates their response, their behaviour. Convincing people of how they must view that reality will determine how they behave.

It creates a collective human experience, and when this is based on fear, people run to where they perceive their survival lies.

The eariler in life you instill those beliefs, the more ingrained those beliefs will be. Controlling how the information from reality reaches the minds of people - by



controlling the media, education and having 'experts' telling us what the truth is - allows for far-reaching manipulation of people's behaviour.

The biggest threat to this system of control is aware, conscious, human beings who have seen through the lies and who understand there is only one real authority, one objective truth: nature.

There are only two legitimate controls over humanity, fixed within the objective reality. One is the exterior laws of the universe, gravity (whatever that is) being one.

The other is the morality that permeates through our being, coming from God. Morality is a set of laws of behaviour that we can summarise into 'do no harm and cause no loss'. Basically, you live your way and I live mine. Let God be the judge, and life the guide.

A life in balance with nature brings peace. And a life out of balance - opposing nature - brings pain. The thing to understand is that we are all responsible for our own lives by the way we perceive objective reality. How we perceive reality dictates our behaviour and shapes our lives.

To change the world to a place of more peace and less pain, we need more people living in harmony with creation and universal morality. The first step is to take back the control over your own mind, and determine for yourself what reality actually is.

You need to live within your reality instead of accepting the reality you're being fed by an authority. This allows you to live within your version of the objective reality, which is where you need to be. Live in the here and now.

When you do that, you contribute towards a life free from indoctrination, and you impede any authority from being able to impose a structure of life that is far removed from your personal reality. Simply sitting on the sidelines and observing is never going to change anything.

- **Book: *A Conscious Humanity - Morality, Freedom and Natural Law (Robert Ryder & Patrick Quanten)***

Far right? That's far off the mark

by **DAVE COFFEY**

HAS any phrase been more gutted, hollowed out, and rendered utterly meaningless as the trope 'far right'?

Becoming commonplace in 2016 in sections of the mainstream media, to denigrate Brexit and Trump voters, it has morphed into an insult used by influential leftist talking heads to denigrate anyone who offers an opinion even one iota different to the one laid down by the establishment - the corporations and governments they profess to oppose. From immigration to lockdowns, masks and jabs, to climate change and the Ukraine/Russia war, 'far right' has become an easy way to vilify anybody who speaks inconvenient truths.

And so we arrive at the Suites Hotel in Knowsley, Liverpool on the night of Friday February 10. A group of a few hundred people protesting against the decision to house asylum seekers in the hotel culminated in an attack on a police van, fireworks being set off, and fifteen arrests. Predictably, the mainstream media instantly had their angle to judge the night's events. The violence, in their opinion, was perpetrated by the 'far right'.

The *i* informed us that 'far-right protestors clashed with police outside the hotel.' *The Mirror* chimed in to support this view, saying, 'far right thugs clashed with police just yards from the hotel.' *The Guardian*, rather predictably, effectively smeared everyone present as 'far right', claiming that, 'several hundred far right demonstrators were present.' Its coverage mentioned the phrase 'far right' six times, just so we were left under no illusions as to the fascistic motivation behind the night's events.

At the time of writing, no information is available regarding the political affiliations of any of those arrested, so why the 'far right' angle is, yet again, being spun by the media is a mystery.

It is absolutely possible that political agitators from the right were present at the protest, and were responsible for the violence. It is equally possible that the vandalism and violence were perpetrated by those on the far left of the political spectrum.



Suites Hotel, Knowsley, Liverpool

Another explanation is that a few agent provocateurs hijacked the protest and caused trouble. What is abundantly clear is that the protest was originally one organised and held peacefully by local people with serious concerns about their children and communities.


In recent weeks and months, anxious neighbourhoods across the UK and Ireland have begun to make their voices heard at what they perceive to be immigration policies that make no sense. Moreover, the arrival of hundreds of asylum seekers into their local areas, paid for by their taxes, is not something that they have been consulted on. Important questions have been asked about the huge numbers arriving, how they are being vetted and properly accounted for, and why most appear to be young men in their twenties and thirties without wives and children; but these questions have been ignored by government and media.

Set against a background of increasing anger, as the covid narrative, with all its associated lies, begins to collapse, prices continue on their relentless upwards trajectory, the media continues to revel in terrorising the public with new threats every day, and the concerns of ordinary people continue to be ignored, the conditions are now ripe for large scale civil disobedience. With this particular protest, the apparent trigger was a video of a fifteen-year-old schoolgirl being approached by what appeared to be a 25-year-old migrant, who asked for her phone number. The video, which went viral on Twitter, presents the girl in a clear state of unease.

Whilst multiculturalism, inclusion and open borders are concepts embraced by the leftist establishment and their acolytes, ordinary people in the UK think differently, and they are now beginning to assert themselves. They remember the grooming gang scandals in places such as Rotherham, Rochdale, Oxford and Telford. Their concerns are real, and they will stop at nothing to protect their children and their communities.

Returning to the notion that the protestors were far right, it has to be pointed out that Knowsley is the second safest Labour seat in the country. Liverpool is far from a right-wing city, and the idea that it is a hotbed of far right extremism is patently absurd. Perhaps it is time for the mainstream media to talk to local residents and find out what they actually think, rather than reverting to pathetic name-calling and unsubstantiated accusations.

Selling slavery as saving the Earth



Stand together to fight 15 minute cities

by **JAYMIE ICKE**

IF you've not yet heard of 15-minute cities and Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs) then you are about to.

Especially here in the UK, local councils across the country are rolling out this policy, which is set to put restrictions on the free movement of people - how many times per year you can leave your zone, when you can do that, whre you can go, and fines for breaking the rules.

All this in the name of the made-up, scientifically baseless climate change.

It's a truly draconian measure, and one that is simply designed to control people and remove as many choices from our existence as possible.

Despite widespread public pushback, the council in the city of Oxford has said: "this is happening whether people like it or not."

Finally, they have dropped the illusion that we live in a democracy, and admitted that we live in a dictatorship where decisions are made no matter what the public thinks.

Protest organiser Fiona Hinds explains more:

"I think it's completely unrealistic. You hit the nail on the head; they're going to do it whether people like it or not. The Oxford consultation started in June, but these consultations, the public don't seem to know that they're happening. It's one of those things that seems to have crept in very quietly on the side, under the guise of climate change.

"They're also talking about things being safer for the community. So again, they're playing on the fact you will feel safer. But the restrictions themselves completely restrict people to those areas, and also limit the amount of time you can spend out of your district or area per year.

"What if people travel for three or six months a year for their work? They're not allowed to come home because of climate change?

"These LTNs are meant to stop traffic in the quieter parts of town to stop busy traffic detouring around. There's a video showing an ambulance not being able to get through one of these traffic filters.

"They make them look pretty, but they are setting them up to restrict people entirely. Now they're trying to say that everything will be within your 15 or 20 minutes. But how possible is that when you look at the wider community? What if someone's disabled and they have a carer that comes from a certain distance? That carer will not be allowed to come to that area to care for that person that needs it. I think it's just dystopian control.

"The opportunity to have your question heard, or to have an opposing or alternative opinion, was essentially silenced. I think anyone in the local community in Oxford would probably tell you, they feel completely ignored."

- ***Fiona was speaking to The Jaymie Icke Show on www.ickonic.com***
- ***https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/23079671.anger-travel-chief-announces-traffic-filters-going-happen-definitely-ahead-decision/***

‘The silence is deafening’

by **DARREN SMITH**

The Light interviews DJ Danny Rampling



DJ megastar Danny Rampling

From superstar DJ and founder of the UK rave scene to intelligent, outspoken truth and freedom activist - how did that happen?

Around May 2020, after a so-called two weeks to flatten the curve, I realised something wasn’t right, and I started following people like Kate Shemirani and Piers Corbyn, who were part of the first rallies. I got more involved as time went on, and I helped with a campaign called ‘Forgotten Ltd’ to gain assistance for two million freelancers who weren’t receiving any support; it was a great campaign but it fell on then Chancellor Rishi Sunak’s deaf ears.

I was already supporting the Night Time Industries Association created by Alan Miller, who then went on to create the Together Declaration at the end of 2021. I joined Alan as one of the signatories at its inception. So I started going to rallies, joining different campaign groups.

This last three years have been a rollercoaster; many hopeful moments and breakthroughs, but this year I think we’re going to see things really change. There’s a tsunami of truth gathering momentum which is going to smash the deception agenda, and these people who are responsible and complicit in this are going to face justice – it’s only a matter of time; the lies are so huge.

We’re seeing a lot of vocal opposition from high-profile people – Andrew Bridgen MP and Sir Christopher Chope MP – voices in Westminster who have been vilified.

And the rallies are hugely important, they bring us together with the positivity and energy, and I feel we’re going to see some major public demonstrations this year. We are standing on the right side of history and we are the opposition to this evil that is going on.

How similar is the truth movement to the old rave scene?

The energy back then was apolitical – a DIY culture that blossomed and flourished and swept through this country and across the world. It is quite disappointing that from the awakening of consciousness back then in the two Summers of Love – there are so many people and contemporaries who are just going along with this and will not speak out.

We’re at such a critical time in history, we’re living through extraordinary times. I think a lot of the high-profile artists won’t speak out because they don’t want to jeopardise their income. It would be great to see more people in the music industry standing up – their lives have been impacted greatly, and the silence is deafening.

How do we get those musicians on board?

I’m supporting Unified Artists for Freedom (UAFF) which is an international collective of musicians and artists that was started last year by Sarah Ball, and is growing organically. It’s a platform to support artists, musicians and creatives within the freedom movement. Anyone who’s a creative or who supports the arts – music, film, theatre – please come and join us. There’s going to be a film premiere this year, public talks, monthly networking meetings, live events and so on.

What do you think are the biggest problems we face right now?

We must uphold unity within the movement, and not be distracted with these other negative agendas and divisive influences. People can get drawn into it and lose their focus. We are under such a barrage on all fronts - pandemics, Digital ID, social credit system, 15-minute cities - but we are not going to give up.

Staying positive in our intentions is of paramount importance, and that’s why we’re going to see the bigger rallies again this year, and also because of the state of the economy – the price hikes on practically everything is all orchestrated. The phrase ‘cost of living crisis’ gives people a false impression. It’s an orchestrated agenda and the word ‘crisis’ is used to instill fear.

Many people are also unaware of central bank programmable digital money, and this is another battle we’re in. Because it’s not on the news, or it’s packaged up as a good thing, they’re oblivious to the dangers. Cash buys freedom, and why should anyone have the authority over how we spend our money? CBDC will be scrutiny and restrictions all the way down the line, carbon credits – all to profit the Davos club.

I speak to local businesses, they are aware of the importance of cash – bank charges have been raised, so many shops and business owners are encouraging customers to pay in cash. People aren’t financially literate, and they’re being told cash is dirty and to pay with a card. It’s all part of getting them into a different way of thinking, this convenience culture. I make a point of paying with cash everywhere I possibly can. There are millions of us doing this – more people are becoming aware of this fight now.

If someone’s information is only from the mainstream media, they aren’t going to be aware of this particular issue, and that’s why it’s important we engage with outreach in our communities, which we have been doing for the last couple of years, and it’s working. You can see the shift on social media – there are far more positive responses now over the past year, and a very small minority of toxic responses.

You turned down a much-needed gig during the lockdown?

I turned down a sit-down gig in Liverpool in May 2021. The agent showed me the plans, and then I saw some pictures and there was a white fence around all the tables. I asked ‘can we get the fences taken down?’ I needed that work – hadn’t worked for over a year. The promoter said ‘we’re tied by the council, it’s part of the regulations’. So I opted out of it – I wasn’t prepared to be a part of that dystopian nonsense.

Is there a new genuinely underground music scene developing now?

There’s a number of us in the industry who lost work for our views. And that’s why the UAFF started. You have to work, but I just love playing music; I play at events that are hosted by independent promoters, generally. There’s also a lot of new artists and younger artists who are getting a platform through the freedom movement which is great, with all the events and festivals now. A favourite of mine at the moment is Vanessa Valentine from Melbourne, Australia.

Are you a prepper?

I do have some non-perishables, water and other essentials, so pretty prepped and have been for over a year – it’s really important. If the internet goes down or some orchestrated disaster happens, it’s really important to have backup. If there was a cyber attack, within a week everything would be in complete meltdown – no supply chains, so where will people get food from? It will be pretty extreme in urban environments. Make sure you’ve got a contingency plan and the kit to survive.

Danny supports the followng and more:

- ***https://uaff.co.uk***
- ***https://thelibertyforum.org***
- ***https://togetherdeclaration.org***

Finding common ground in the Constitution

by **WILLIAM KEYTE**

WE are now in the last chance saloon when it comes to action that liberty-loving people can take.

Most people in the freedom movement who take interest in matters of law claim they are interested in common law. But it is interesting that very few actually frame common law correctly or even talk about it at all.

I believe the 'common law movement' - those interested in some aspect of the distortion of our law system - are under a spell. More specifically a psy-op, and if we don't wake up and see it, we're going to be too late.

The thing is, we don't need to argue that the government is acting unlawfully by using this stuff. We can look much more reasonable and even respectable simply by arguing all of this from the context of the Constitution.

The Constitution is our common law foundation - and it was put in place for a point in history like now: it's there to protect our liberties from government. The whole point of a democratic common law Constitution is to prevent government itself from becoming criminal in nature. The word democracy actually refers to the emplacement of a jury mechanism that allows the people to judge the law as well as the accused. It's not about voting in elections.

The powers-that-shouldn't-be are about to make some big sacrifices to win a bigger battle - global governance. They will facilitate the exposure of the whole covid fraud, and throw the World Economic Forum (WEF), the vaccine manufacturers/big pharma to the wall, and all the functionaries of the world's governments that colluded with them.

And they will say something like: "Look how you were tricked - you can't trust national governments anymore. We will provide you with a safe, trustless, localised governing system."

They will implement global governance by masquerading it as local policy brought about 'democratically' through local councils and regions, meaning our fast-asleep friends will happily wave this through obliviously.

The WEF clowns look like something out of some awful movie. Klaus Scwab himself just needs a white cat or a 'mini-me' and he's the perfect villain figure. Don't you think they actually wanted us to spot this?

This gradual exposure we are now seeing of covid is not terribly organic. It seems to be deliberately brought about - limited hangout and controlled releases.

Interesting too how there appears to be a big anti-royal movement at the same time - or is it a republican movement? Many well-meaning supporters of this haven't yet figured out the dangers to our constitutional protections of getting rid of the role of King/Queen in Britain, and the entire common law-based oath system that is embedded in our system of government.

So, if they are going all-in and chucking their useful puppets into the trash, that means they are playing a very big deception here. And we haven't got long to get our act together. The freedom movement is largely in disarray and totally unfocused. Do you think that the chaos in the movement might be from deliberate distraction and misdirection?

> **The word democracy actually refers to the emplacement of a jury mechanism that allows the people to judge the law as well as the accused. It's not about voting in elections**

So what is the solution? The 'Law Movement' (those interested in common law), must:

- Learn about common law itself - it's built into the Constitution
- Understand that owning your Constitution is about enacting your sovereignty - it was designed to bind criminal government
- Understand there are no shortcuts or magic bullets - we need to educate ourselves in order to educate the unaware
- Make the case that the government is beyond its powers by arguing from a sensible foundation
- Most importantly, teach as many people around you the simple truth of the Constitution
- The Constitution says that the people govern their country through the jury system
- The Constitution affords all men and women a jury trial - so what's going on with magistrates courts?
- Parliament is not sovereign - the people are
- The jury has the right to disagree with the legislation - it's called Jury Independence
- The Monarch's most important role is to refuse Royal Assent to any of parliament's statutes that threaten the people's liberties and would infringe the Constitution
- The jury has the right to ignore an unjust statute
- The judge in court is not the judge - the jury are
- The people learn to rule themselves by being on a jury. The Constitution teaches us to be our own masters
- Stop messing around with commercial systems that they have put in place to distract you
- Stop engaging in letter-writing processes involving notices, especially ones based in Law Merchant and the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC)
- Stop talking about the 'birth certificate fraud' - it is simply not true and unnecessary to their agenda
- Stop spouting half-truths and exaggerated statements about the legal profession all colluding together and that they all know and are in on it.
- We don't need a majority, just a tipping point - we need huge numbers of people sharing awkward facts, questions, concepts and statements all over social media about the constitutional rule of law
- Embracing and owning the Constitution is our key to becoming truly sovereign

Share these concepts over social media

This will start to properly rattle the other side

- ***https://www.commonlawconstitution.org/the-mechanics-of-a-common-law-consitution***
- ***https://www.newchartistmovement.org.uk/resources/the-occulted-powers-of-the-british-constitution***
- ***https://www.commonlawconstitution.org/resources/useful-quotations-in-support-of-trial-by-jury***
- ***https://economicresistance.substack.com/p/the-common-law-cult-part-one***

Promoting food sovereignty and protecting farmers

Grow your own for better health and peace of mind

by **KATHERINE MACBEAN**

AS we all become increasingly aware of the controlling power structures across the world, it is now clearer than ever before that the rulers have near-complete control over food production.

Food sovereignty has become an ideology of the past, as the international exchange of commodities is controlled by governments and large conglomerates.

The People's Food & Farming Alliance (PFFA) was formed in September of 2022 to battle the controlling powers, create common sense solutions to eradicating the old structures, and to return power to farmers and producers, as well as to the people.

With three main areas of focus - grow your own, community growing groups and farmers and producers - PFFA is supporting through education, facilitation and collaboration.

To succeed, we all need to play our part, and many of us are able to grow a percentage of our own food, however small that may be. This can be working with our own gardens and outdoor space, by working with others in our community to form growing groups that access private or public land for larger growing, or by connecting with local farmers to encourage a traditional mixed-farming approach so they can serve their communities directly.

Currently supermarkets, feed merchants, the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA), Big Ag, Big Chem and Big Seed have far too much control on what food production in the UK looks like.

By PFFA's working directly with the public, farmers and producers, and with partners such as Farmacy Co-Operative and Open Food Network, we can come together to form new ways forward that can't be touched by government, supermarkets or conglomerates, but we need to act fast.

DEFRA and the government are moving by stealth to control and attack our farmers. Albeit unfounded actions taken against poultry farmers, under the guise of an Avian Influenza biosecurity issue, or maybe a 'biosecurity prevention' push for mRNA tech in livestock, we must do all we can to raise awareness within the farming community, and provide solutions that are backed by every community across the UK.

PFFA is forming common-sense solutions, such as our own accreditation system that actually supports farmers and consumers, not the supermarkets.

We are sourcing funding to support education centres that will train more people in mobile butchery and mobile feed mixing, so that farmers have far more control over their produce and what they rear their cattle on. Animal feed is a great way to destroy cattle - should THEY choose that route.

And PFFA is also working with other groups to form logistics operations, connect farmers regionally in planning growing for coming seasons, as well as solutions in storage and distribution to the public.

One starting point in PFFA's plan is to create a network of Regional Ambassadors to collaborate with farmers in their region, and gain a solid understanding of the challenges that farmers face.

They will work with the farmer, the PFFA network and relevant partners to find the right workable solutions, helping to guide farmers from monocrop and mono-product cultures to ones more aligned with traditional mixed farming.

This approach not only helps farmers and producers create multiple routes to market but it will also provide healthy, seasonal food for communities, while healing and improving the soil, the natural environment and the entire ecosystem including the animals.

What PFFA now needs is for people with some agricultural knowledge to reach out and become a part of this network of Regional Ambassadors across the UK. We are also keen to connect with community growing groups across the UK, so we can help to highlight the work underway, getting people involved and inspired to form community growing groups in their own area.

And everyone with outdoor space is encouraged to become more self-sufficient in growing as much as they can at home. Not only is growing our own food fun, but it is also great for our health, our soil and our families' well-being, plus it will save money on weekly food shops, and we can trade with our neighbours.

Now more than ever it is imperative that we mobilise every community and farmer across the UK. By working together to find solutions and build a new way forward, led by the people, we eradicate the current monopolistic ways of getting food from farm to fork.

Food sovereignty is achievable, but it must start now.

- ***https://the-pffa.org***
- ***https://openfoodnetwork.org.uk***

When journalists stopped listening


by **BARRY RUSH**

What really happened at the BBC during covid?

'SHAME on you!' - that's the chant that echoed off the walls of BBC offices across the nation in early 2022, as furious protests broke out over the prospect of vaccine mandates and vaccine passports.

During what was arguably the most tumultuous and challenging period of our lives, many believe that journalists in the mainstream media failed us - right when we needed them most.

Sensing that something was amiss, former BBC and ITV journalist Anna Brees took the decision to put her media





Anna Brees

training work on hold to report on the goings-on of covid-19.

This is a story of her personal experience during that period - not only as a single parent of three children - but also as a professional journalist.

It's a treasure trove of anecdotal evidence, including conversations she had - both on and off the record - with other journalists from the likes of BBC, ITV and Sky News.

On her search for answers, Anna asked the question: why did they abandon their journalistic ethics and fail to provide fair and balanced reporting during the covid crisis?

Exclusive conversations with some of TV's most prominent editors reveal the reasons behind the lack of balance in their reporting. It poses the question of whether it's necessary to betray the confidence of a source, especially on a subject of immense public interest.

- ***SHAME: When Journalists Stopped Listening - https://amzn.eu/d/ikI6z8h***

Emergency Pr

Awareness: The first thing to be prepared for is to know whether to and when to act. False alarms, false flags and deceptions are often spun out to keep people in fear. When genuine disaster strikes, they won't need to tell us about it on the TV.

Batteries: Bags (of all sizes), Booze, Bow and arrows, Books, Bleach, Blankets, Bug out bag, .

Cash: In an emergency, ATMs and card machines may not be available, so it's important to have a supply of cash on hand. This can be used to purchase necessary supplies or pay for evacuation expenses. You may not be able to get change during a crisis so keep a range of denominations.

Drinking water: It's important to have a supply of clean drinking water in case the water supply is disrupted or contaminated. Consider storing bottled water, or filling up jugs or other containers with tap water in case of an emergency, and know where your local springs and streams are.

Emergency contact list: Having a list of emergency contacts can be invaluable in an emergency situation. This should include phone numbers for family members, friends, neighbors, and any relevant agencies or organizations. This is an important part of an emergency binder.

Food supplies: Know where your local food producers are, and become one yourself! Even in a flat or house with no garden, some fruit, veg and herbs can be easily grown, and traded.

Generator: A generator can provide a reliable source of power in the event of a power outage. This can be especially important for those who rely on electricity for medical equipment or other essential needs.

Health and fitness: If you are not in the best of shape, this can impact more if disaster strikes. Why wait? Check your diet and exercise if you need to - could you run a mile and then build a shelter quickly if you had to?

ID and documents: Have them ready in one place to grab if you need to bug out of your home for any reason. You never know when you might need them.

Jacket: A warm jacket ca
especially if you are evacuated
you are used to. It's important t
weather in your area, as well as

Knife: A knife can be a u
situations, including cutting th
food. It's important to have a re
you need it.

Light source: In t
can be essential for navigating
include flashlights, candles, or

Medical suppli
of your emergency preparednes
bandages, gauze, and pain me
medications you or your family

Non-perishable
of non-perishable food, such a
and nuts, and protein bars, in c
These items will keep for a long
sustain you in an emergency si

Organise your f
off. If you have no real savings,
fiat currency for real money so
your own bank.

Pet supplies: If y
them in your emergency. Food,

Quick clotting p
is a highly effective bleeding c
within minutes of application. I
is applied directly to the wound
to form a clot. This can be a life
methods of bleeding control, s
not enough.

reps from A-Z

n be essential in an emergency situation,
to a location with a different climate than
to have a jacket that is appropriate for the
s any locations you may need to evacuate to.

useful tool in a variety of emergency
rough debris, opening cans, and preparing
eliable and durable knife on hand in case

he event of a power outage, a light source
your home and performing tasks. This can
r a headlamp.

es: A basic first aid kit should be part
ss kit. This should include supplies like
dication, as well as any prescription
members may need.

e food: It's important to have a supply
s canned goods, rice, pasta, dried fruits
case you don't have access to fresh food.
g time without refrigeration and can help
tuation.

finances: If you are in debt, pay it
cut down on your spending and swap your
you will not be reliant on others. Become

ou have pets, it's important to include
medical supplies, carriers etc.

products: Quick clotting powder
ontrol agent that helps to stop bleeding
It is made from a clay-like substance that
d, where it absorbs excess blood and helps
esaving tool in situations where traditional
uch as applying pressure to the wound, are



Radio: In the event of a power outage, a battery-powered or hand-crank radio can be a vital source of information. It can help you stay updated on weather forecasts, evacuation orders, and other important alerts. CB and two-way radios too.




Solar chargers: While you're never going to be able to run your fridge off portable solar kits, they will charge laptops, phones and other batteried items.



Tent: A tent is an important item to have in an emergency because it can provide shelter in case you need to evacuate your home or if you are caught in an unexpected outdoor situation. A tent can protect you from the elements and provide a sense of security.



USB charger: In an emergency, it's important to have a way to charge your phone or other electronic devices. A USB charger can be a lifesaver, especially if you need to use your phone to call for help or stay in touch with loved ones.



Vaseline: Vaseline is a versatile product that can be used in a variety of emergency situations. It can be used to protect and moisturise skin, as well as to seal small cuts and scratches. In a survival situation, Vaseline can also be used as a fire lighter or to lubricate gear.




Water filter: A water filter is essential in an emergency because it can allow you to access clean drinking water if your regular source is compromised. There are many different types of water filters available, including straw filters, gravity filters, and pump filters. Choose one that is appropriate for the situation you may face.



Xtra of everything: Clothes, fuel, toiletries and supplies, water purification methods, skills, knowledge and friends on whom you can rely.



Yellow rubber cleaning gloves: You know the kind – your mother and grandmother swore by them to protect their hands when doing dishes. Yellow rubber gloves can be worn when handling hazardous materials, cleaning up after an emergency, or handling human waste.



Ziptop bags: Ziptop bags are a useful item to have in an emergency because they can be used to store and protect a variety of items. They are great for organizing supplies and keeping them dry, and they can also be used to store food or other perishable items.

Words: Organic Prepper / The Light. Design: Mi.Ki

Pupils taught how to deal with ‘denier-speech’

Globalists bid to influence education in Spain

by **DAVID HOLMES**

EVERY five to seven years, the Ministry of Education in Spain churns out the latest amendment to the national curriculum, complete with a brand new acronym.

And in 2022, there was the release of the LOMLOE - literally translated as the Pythonesque-sounding ‘Organic Law of Education which modifies the previous Organic Law of Education’.

Its mission statement couldn’t be clearer - emblazoned beneath the Spanish government logo are the words ‘Agenda 2030’.

For those unfamiliar with Agenda 2030, it’s the United Nations’ blueprint for technocracy under the guise of saving the Earth. Think Davos, and all the private jets that give away the fact that Net Zero is for us and not for them. With its roots in the 1980s Wildlands Project in the U.S., Agenda 2030’s predecessor, Agenda 21, came into being after Rockefeller protégé Maurice Strong’s 1992 Rio Earth Summit.

Its core principles were the Malthusian ideal of limiting population growth, and the abolition of private property. ‘Debt for land’ swaps were initiated by the United Nations to encourage indebted nations to pledge large swathes of land to conservation trusts acting on the behest of billionaires.

Klaus Schwab co-opted the term ‘stakeholder capitalism’ and Larry Fink consolidated BlackRock’s position as the Fat Controller of the world. All in the name of ‘sustainable development’.

Despite having absolutely nothing to do with ecology, the billionaire resource grab billed as the ‘green agenda’ has been signed into Spanish educational law as the route map to responsible citizenship. What is more, teachers all over Spain are welcoming it with open arms, and publishers are falling over each other to make sure that the UN’s 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are sufficiently visible on the pages of their textbooks.

Such enthusiasm is understandable with no prior knowledge of the history or aims of Agenda 2030.Who could be against gender equality, diversity and sustainability? But as journalist Whitney Webb commented, “If you are going to trust billionaires and bankers (who have



created the environmental crises) to design a brand new economic system because you think they care about the environment, you might as well hand them your brain in a bag.”

In Spain, the education system has undergone something of a transformation over the last decade or so. Progressives celebrate its liberation from the content-heavy rote learning of the past, in favour of a more skills-led approach. This is precisely what makes it vulnerable to predators who conceal stakeholder agendas under the cloak of feel-good values such as diversity, equity and inclusion.

One of the scariest examples of this is a 2022 publication by a Foundation called InteRed, sponsored by the Spanish Agency of International Cooperation for Development, linked to the government’s Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the EU.

Ironically titled *Critical Thinking and Prevention of Denier Discourse Among the Youth*, it purports to stimulate ‘active participation and commitment to justice, gender equity and social and environmental sustainability’.

It begins with one of the hallmarks of brainwashing, trashing the outmoded status quo: the ‘false superiority’ of adulthood. What do adults know compared to teens? It sounds ominously reminiscent of the Prussian model described by John Taylor Gatto in *Confederacy of Dunces*: ‘Teacher training in Prussia was founded on three premises, which the United States subsequently borrowed. The first of these is that the state is sovereign, the only true parent of children. Its corollary is that biological parents are the enemies of their offspring.’

‘We need to speak their language,’ the InteRed publication tells us. So we’d better make sure they’re clear about what we want them to say.

It goes on to present a series of lesson plans around the key competence of ‘global citizenship’, one of the LOMLOE set pieces. But the fun really starts in part four, whose title asks: *How to act when faced with deniers?*

For good measure, the subheading reveals: *Guidelines and strategies for an efficient dialogue against denier-speech*’. Then follows the familiar template of profiling ‘denier’ psychology – paranoia, sublimation, an inability to reason and an arsenal of arguments ‘to entrap the listener’.

To get everyone on board, it launches into the topic of gender-based violence. Denial of the scale of this problem is something worthy of addressing, but predictably this is used as a stepping stone to where the slanderous term ‘deniers’ is most frequently used: climate change and covid.

For the former, we’re presented with an ‘indisputable’ set of human-activity causes, with ‘denier’ quotes ranging from strawman arguments (‘How can climate change be happening if it’s cold?’) to valid criticisms (‘Not all the scientific community confirms the consensus’) swatted away without analysis or any history of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

We are directed to, among others, Greta Thunberg, though of course not as the most cynical example in history of the exploitation of a child as poster-girl for political gains.

And then comes covid. All of the discredited ‘infodemic’ propaganda is there. How to combat misinformation that PCR tests, masks and vaccines are anything other than completely safe and effective. Again, the lines assigned to the *conspiranoicos* are carefully nuanced to misrepresent. ‘You’ll hear deniers tell you that covid was created by 5G!’ (rather than people worrying about the proven harms of 5G radiation). ‘They claim there are microchips in the vaccines!’ (these have been seen multiple times, as well as graphene oxide). And that ‘some people even think covid was created in a lab!’ (viruses can’t be created in a lab and can’t be spread across the world).

Counter-arguments are carefully laid out verbatim for students to parrot, so rather than teaching authentic critical thinking and the importance of research, investigating sources and all sides of a complex issue, they are simply providing children with a script.

With Finland’s ‘anti-fake news’ initiative in schools now in its ninth year, the time, money and effort the rulers are spending on conditioning future generations to read from the establishment hymn sheet is worrying. Parents need to step in, lead by example, and arm our children with the skills to truly think critically and fact check for themselves.

Then maybe they still might reach the year 2030 as free people.

Football leading the social credit scoring

by **DANIEL MARGRAIN**

IN conjunction with the more traditional red and yellow cards, white cards have been embraced by the Portuguese Football Federation (FPF) in their tournaments. If successful, the white card initiative could eventually be rolled-out worldwide.

The use of white cards denotes acts of fair play during games. By contrast, yellow and red cards are used by referees to discipline players and coaching staff for varying degrees of misconduct.


On the surface, it would appear that the addition of the white card in the armoury of the referee's toolkit can only be a good thing.

But when you dig deeper, and understand the history of psychological operations utilised by nation states against their domestic populations, and the fact that football commands a phenomenal worldwide audience, it is possible to envisage that the Portugese experiment could potentially lead to something much more sinister.

For anybody who has nefarious intent, there is arguably no better vehicle with which to sell an agenda than professional football. We can already see, through ostensibly anti-racism initiatives in football like the 'Black Lives Matter' and the 'Taking the Knee' phenomenon, the processes by which the state uses sophisticated psychological techniques to drive wedges between communities in order to control them.

The public is being conditioned into believing that the natural human reaction of coming to the assistance of somebody in distress needs to be sanctified by a white card to denote virtue.

Professional footballers know that the consequences of refusing to participate in these kinds of group-think actions would result in their being ostracised by their clubs and the media. We have already witnessed similar kinds of social pressures to comply with the dictates of the state during the covid period.



Could the white card initiative be the latest in a long line of psy-ops intended to manipulate the public into complying with their demands?

If that is indeed the case, then the psychology underpinning the initiative in Portugal would essentially be similar to what we now know was the UK strategy adopted during the covid era.

This is how the psy-op works:

The red card denotes exceptionally bad behaviour, resulting in a player's dismissal from the field of play. The yellow card denotes bad, but less serious, behaviour. By contrast, the white card denotes good behaviour worthy of a reward.

It is not difficult to see how the psychology that underlies the use of the three cards described above, currently plays out in relation to the authoritarian Chinese-style digital social credit system. For example, 'exemplary behaviour' agreeable to the state would be advantageous to one's credit score, the equivalent of receiving a white card.

Undertaking an action that the state regards as disagreeable, serious enough to reduce one's credit score, would be the equivalent of a yellow card.

Activities that the state regards as 'criminal' and worthy of a loss of social credits severely limiting the ability to access transport and public services, would be deemed to be the equivalent of a red card.

In the UK, the Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE) influences the government in terms of its behavioural strategies. During the covid 'pandemic', the group encouraged the government to engage in unethical behavioural 'nudge' techniques to persuade millions of people to wear ineffective masks, abide by damaging lockdowns and inject potentially lethal toxins into their bodies.

SAGE managed to achieve this feat under the guise that it was in society's best interests for it to do so, and that the reward for complying would be a re-establishment of the public's freedoms and liberties, equivalent to being issued with a white card.

The notion that the state rewards what it considers to be good behaviour, whilst punishing what it regards as bad behaviour, raises ethical questions about how far nation states, more broadly, are prepared to go in terms of their ability to psychologically 'nudge' people in certain directions that they regard as desirable.

Given the context described, it's not unreasonable to assume that the psychological weaponisation of the white card initiative is the latest example of a 'nudge' technique designed to engender social conformity and obedience to authority.

More specifically, it's also reasonable to assume that the UK state might want to psychologically exploit the use of white cards as a tool of compliance, in preparation for their proposed implementation of an authoritarian Chinese-style digital social credit system.

From the perspective of power, what better way to psychologically manipulate the public than through the 'bread and circus' mechanism of the world's most popular spectator sport?

- ***https://cultureandpolitics.org/2023/02/02/is-portugals-white-card-initiative-set-to-become-another-global-psy-ops/***

Brazilian president enacts medical apartheid



Lula da Silva

by **PAUL BENNETT**

NEW Brazilian president Lula da Silva is setting the tone early in his presidency, with discriminatory policies towards families and children regarding vaccination status.

According to Brazilian channel TV BRICS: "Minister of Health, Nisia Trindade, said that the new rules for access to Bolsa Familia bring a benefit to the health of families. In order to receive the resources, mothers must prove that their children are enrolled in school and that their vaccination booklet is up to date.

"Furthermore, pregnant women must undergo all the basic prenatal examinations. The minister classified the new rules as a necessity. "Vaccination is a child's right," she said during a ceremony in Rio de Janeiro, where she and President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva launched a national plan to reduce the queues at the Single Health System.

"Bolsa Familia is a programme aimed at low-income families. Created in 2003 during Lula's first term in office, it will have a minimum value of R$600 in 2023. During the last government, led by Jair Bolsonaro, the programme was replaced by Auxilio Brasil (Brazil's new social welfare programme) in which no such conditionalities were imposed."

In a statement on February 6, President Lula said: "Bolsa Família is coming back, and it is coming back with something important. It is coming back with conditionalities.

"First, mothers of children up to six years old will receive an additional R$150 reals. Second, the children have to be in school. If they are not in school, the mother loses the benefit. Third, children have to be vaccinated. If not, the mother loses the benefit."

Lula added: "Now the Zé Gotinha campaign will begin. We can't hesitate; we can't play around. It is a question of science. If there are ten covid vaccines, 50 to take, I will take as many as necessary because I like my life. I think everyone has a duty to their children's life, take them [to be vaccinated] at the right age."

Zé Gotinha is a Brazilian mascot created to promote vaccination campaigns and raise awareness, with the goal of making vaccines more attractive to children.

- ***https://tvbrics.com/en/news/brazilian-ministry-of-health-conducts-major-vaccination-campaign/***

Explosive revelations from covid vaccine conference in Australia

Regulator claims only 14 of 973 deaths caused by jab

by **REBEKAH BARNETT**

INTERNATIONALLY recognised covid experts Dr Peter McCullough and Dr Pierre Kory toured Australia in February for the sold-out Covid Vaccine Conference.

The talks, hosted by Clive Palmer's United Australia Party, were held in Sydney, Melbourne and Brisbane. Topics included early treatment of covid, vaccine safety and effectiveness, the biopharmaceutical complex and medical freedom. While in the country, Dr McCullough and Dr Kory, along with author John Leake, visited members of the Australian parliament to discuss their growing concerns about the safety of the covid vaccines.


They were joined on the stage by Australian GP Dr Melissa McCann, whose address revealed stunning details about the Therapeutic Goods Association's (TGA) regulatory practices and its handling of adverse event reports. These revelations were gleaned from documents that Dr McCann obtained under Freedom of Information (FOI) requests.

Most shockingly, causal assessments for reported deaths after covid vaccination appear to show that the TGA's assessment team determined five deaths as being causally linked to covid vaccination, of which only one is declared on the publicly available covid vaccine safety reports (FOI 3727).

As of February 19, 2023, the TGA reports that only 14 out of the 973 reported deaths in its DAEN database are causally linked to covid vaccination. The four deaths that appear in the FOI documents but not in the public safety reports include two children, aged seven and nine, who died of cardiac arrest following vaccination.

The TGA is supposed to upload all FOI documents to the public disclosure log, however they did not upload the ten causality assessments for these reported deaths. When asked by Dr McCann why the documents had not been uploaded, the TGA responded that, "disclosure of the documents could undermine public confidence."

The TGA has formally denied that the causality assessment decisions are in fact decisions of causality, claiming that the text in the decision section is simply a template heading. This would require the public to believe that the following variations on the



Dr Peter McCullough

word 'causality' are all template headings: 'causal; causality; ? causality; causality assessment outcome; unlikely causality; unlikely causality – update further should pathology become available. Further requests for clarification have been lodged with the TGA. Requests for information on the number of the 973 reported deaths after vaccination that have been causally assessed have hitherto been denied, and the ten causal assessment documents from FOI 3727 remain unpublished on the FOI disclosure log.

Dr McCann also shared documentation relating to the TGA's Proportional Reporting Ratio (PRR) analyses of the covid vaccines, which show safety signals for multiple adverse events including: cardiac arrest, myocarditis, pulmonary infarction and intestinal ischemia (FOI 4032). The TGA has since provided statements assuring that safety is closely monitored; however, it has not provided a clear response as to whether the TGA recognises safety signals from the PRR analysis, or whether any further action has been taken. Professor John Skerritt said recently that cardiac arrest (misspoken as "heart attack") is not a known safety signal of covid vaccines (Senate Budget Estimates, 16 February 2023). Regarding safety monitoring, the TGA also admitted that they never evaluated the Pfizer mRNA vaccine for potential oncomirs, which are small RNA sequences that can cause cancer (FOI 3604).

Conference attendees learned that documentation pertaining to assessment of Pfizer Comirnaty for provisional approval recommended that a categorisation of B2 for use in pregnancy was "considered acceptable", with the assessment noting abnormalities in the animal reproductive study of, "supernumerary lumbar ribs in foetuses from Comirnaty treated female rats." (FOI 2389-01) Yet this sentence was removed from the publicly available product information, and the Pregnancy Category was revised to B1, accompanied by the statement, "Studies in animals have not shown evidence of an increased occurrence of foetal damage."

Finally, Dr McCann shared communications relating to an investigation into vaccine-related deaths among the elderly in Norway, in which the Norwegian regulator advised the TGA that, "adverse reactions from the vaccine, such as nausea and diarrhoea had caused a turn for the worse as the people were already so fragile," and that 1/1000 people vaccinated had died (FOI 4073). Prof John Skerrit wrote that for 30 fatal cases reported by the Norwegian regulator, there was, "a uniform picture – nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea leading to acute kidney injury in frail patients." Subsequently, Pfizer was given the opportunity to review the TGA's press release on the Norway investigation, and to provide "comments for consideration." When it was finally issued, the TGA's press release reported, "No specific risk of covid-19 vaccinations in elderly patients."

Dr McCann is now turning her efforts to a Covid Vaccine Class Action, which is expected to file this month. The Class Action has received over 350 expressions of interest, and the number is growing. "Hopefully this class action will force some transparency so that there will be more clarity around how adverse events are reviewed, and how many are likely to be linked to the vaccines," said Dr McCann.

The Covid Vaccine Conference ended with a call from Dr Peter McCullough for Australians to fight for medical freedom. Without medical freedom, social and economic freedoms will be impinged upon, he warned.

References

All TGA FOIs can be found here:

- ***https://www.tga.gov.au/foi-disclosure-log***

Covid-19 vaccine safety reports:

- ***https://www.tga.gov.au/news/covid-19-vaccine-safety-reports***

Correspondence between Dr Melissa McCann and the TGA, as well as links to FOI 3727 Docs 1-10:

- ***https://rebekahbarnett.substack.com/p/breaking-australias-drug-regulator***

TGA Pfizer Comirnaty Public Information:

- ***https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/auspar-bnt162b2-mrna-210125-pi.pdf***

Danes protest removal of Christian holiday

by **PAUL BENNETT**

IN early February, fifty thousand Danes gathered in Copenhagen to protest against plans by the Danish government to scrap a public holiday in order to increase its military spending.

According to Reuters: "Thousands of Danes gathered in Copenhagen to protest a bill put forward by the government to scrap the Great Prayer Day, a Christian holiday that falls on the fourth Friday after Easter and dates back to 1686." Signs at the protest read 'Hands Off Our Holiday' and 'Say No to War'.

Danish Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen has come under immense pressure due to the national outcry regarding the plans to scrap such an important religious feast day.

Frederiksen justified the proposed axing of the public holiday by explaining: "There is war in Europe, and we need to strengthen our defences... and that will require everyone to contribute a little more."

Voice of America News (VOA) reported: "The holiday abolition was proposed in December, to help raise tax revenues for higher defence spending in the wake of the Ukraine war, and is part of the new Danish government's sweeping reform programme aimed at overcoming challenges to Denmark's welfare model.

"The government has proposed moving forward to 2030 a goal of meeting the NATO defence spending target of 2% of GDP. It says most of the extra 4.5 billion Danish Krone ($654 million) needed to meet the target could be covered by the higher tax revenues it anticipates from abolishing the holiday."

Maintaining tradition is clearly still important to Danish people as demonstrated by the huge protest. Nonetheless, it appears the Danish government will ignore the large protest and comply with NATO's spending targets.

- ***https://tinyurl.com/37fuayp8***

Japan will pilot CBDC

by **AMITOJ SINGH**

JAPAN will launch a pilot programme in April to test the use of its version of a central bank digital currency (CBDC) known as the digital yen.

"We plan to develop a system for experiments," Bank of Japan (BoJ) Executive Director, Shinichi Uchida, said in remarks during the fifth meeting of the BoJ Liaison and Coordination Committee on Central Bank Digital Currency.

"The aim of the pilot program is twofold: first, to test the technical feasibility... and second, to utilise the skills and insights of private businesses in terms of technology and operation, for designing a CBDC ecosystem in the possible event of social implementation," Uchida said.

The move comes after more than two years of proof-of-concept experiments by the BoJ around the digital yen, even as China's



digital yuan continues to lead the CBDC race, which has now extended to more than 105 countries representing over 95% of the world's total GDP.

The move also comes at a time when the BoJ is set for leadership transition, with Kazuo Ueda expected to take over the top job from Haruhiko Kuroda when his second five-year term ends in April.

In November 2022, Stock Market Index Nikkei reported that, starting in the spring of 2023, the BoJ would work on experiments on a digital yen with three mega-banks and regional banks in the country.

- ***https://tinyurl.com/t372autb***

Excess deaths in Australia 16% above normal

by **REBEKAH BARNETT**

EXCESS deaths for the first nine months of 2022 were at 16% - with 19,986 deaths more than baseline average, reports the Australian Bureau of Statics (ABS).

The ABS attributes 40.8% (8,160) of these deaths to covid, with the remainder due to a range of causes, the most significant of which include cancer, dementia, respiratory diseases, heart disease, cerebrovascular diseases and diabetes.

Meanwhile, a Melbourne woman has been denied a heart transplant because she is not vaccinated against covid, despite having a medical exemption.

Vicki Derderian opted not to receive the vaccine due to concerns that it may increase her risk of heart complications such as myocarditis or pericarditis. Ms Derderian relies on a ventricular assist device to keep her heart functioning since it failed in 2020, and requires the transplant as a matter of urgent necessity.

The policy, colloquially referred to as 'No Jab, No Heart,' is mandated by the Victorian Department of Health, and is backed by media doctor and former Deputy Chief Health Officer, Dr Nick Coatsworth.

Deaths in aged care increased sharply in November and December of 2022, and continued to soar in January of 2023. Commentators put this down to changes in reporting practices, ongoing staffing shortages, and relaxing of mandated covid restrictions within the community.

At the end of January, 85.7% of eligible aged care residents had received four doses of covid vaccination. On February 20, a fifth dose was due to become available to adults aged 18 and older. In a statement related to protecting the elderly, the Australian Department of Health said that this fifth dose "will increase [aged care] residents' protection from severe illness, hospitalisation, or death from



Dr Nick Coatsworth

covid-19, especially over the winter period."

The recommended time between vaccination doses, or between infection and vaccination, has been extended from three months to six months.

A bill prohibiting covid vaccine discrimination has been introduced to Australian parliament, which, if passed, will update anti-discrimination laws to include vaccination status as a protected attribute. Workplace vaccination requirements persist in a range of industries in Australia, with some large businesses choosing to retain vaccination requirements long after state governments rescinded the mandates.

An Audit of Critical National Infrastructure recommends that digital infrastructure be prioritised, in the form of improvements to the myGov digital hub, which provides access to major government services including the Australian Taxation Office, Medicare, and Australia's welfare provider, Centrelink.

The report uses language of inclusivity, recommending that no one is left behind and that "citizen-centred governance and operational arrangements for myGov and myGovID [will] overcome government silos and drive inclusion and performance."

Meanwhile, campaigning has begun ahead of a referendum scheduled to take place later this year, in which it will be proposed that an 'Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Voice' (an independent advisory body for First Nations people) at parliament be enshrined in the Australian Constitution. This will be the first referendum since Australians voted against becoming a republic in 1999.

The Voice seeks to improve representation of First Nations peoples in matters that affect them directly. First Nations people are divided on the issue, with prominent figures campaigning for both the Yes and No campaigns.

Rebekah Barnett reports from Western Australia. Find her work at Dystopian Down Under.

References

ABS Provisional Mortality Rates:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/ms55djcx***

No Jab No Heart:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/saed39an***

Aged care deaths:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/536fr3up***
- ***https://tinyurl.com/2p8hfa6m***

DoH press release fifth dose:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/2rtvdnxn***

ATAGI booster advice:

- ***https://www.health.gov.au/news/atagi-2023-booster-advice***

Fair Work Amendment (Prohibiting COVID-19 Vaccine Discrimination) Bill 2023:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/wnrff3mu***

Critical National Infrastructure Audit:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/2p8v3dt4***

The Voice:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/ye2bxewa***

Uluru Statement From The Heart:

- ***https://tinyurl.com/5c45rxj4***

Is medical intervention the leading cause of death?

by **DAWN LESTER & DAVID PARKER**

> **If modern medicine truly was the miracle it is claimed to be, then Americans ought to be amongst the healthiest people in the world**



Photo: Mark Lowe

THE term iatrogenesis is derived from the Greek word for doctor, although the origin of the word 'doctor' derives from the Latin for 'teacher'.

It is widely recognised that there are problems within the medical system; an understatement of immense proportions.

Nevertheless, the existence of problems is recognised, albeit in a limited way, by the medical establishment itself, as can be seen by a May 2016 article published in the BMJ that is worthy of note. The article refers to a Johns Hopkins study that analysed medical death rate data, and discovered that the number of deaths per year due to medical error was far in excess of the number of deaths due to respiratory disease, which the CDC was claiming at the time to be the third leading cause of death. This discovery moved 'medical error' into third place.

The article, appropriately titled *Medical error - the third leading cause of death in the US*, explains that most data about causes of death are based on the ICD (International Classification of Disease) codes and states that:

"…causes of death not associated with an ICD code, such as human and system factors, are not captured."

This clearly raises serious concerns about the true scale of the problem, if certain information is not even captured. However, iatrogenesis does not equate to medical error alone.

The Merriam-Webster dictionary defines iatrogenesis as: 'the unintentional causation of an unfavorable health condition (such as disease, injury, infection, or an adverse drug reaction) during the process of providing medical care (such as surgery, drug treatment, hospitalisation, or diagnostic testing)'.

This represents a much broader explanation of the term; although infection should be removed.

Interestingly, although possibly unsurprisingly, the BMJ article does not refer to the July 2000 article by Dr Barbara Starfield entitled *Is US Health Really the Best in the World?*

In her article, Dr Starfield provides one statistic that deserves particular attention, which is the annual total of 106,000 deaths that she found was the result of 'non-error adverse effects of medications'. In other words, these medications had been correctly and appropriately prescribed and administered.

Although providing a limited view of iatrogenesis, a 2018 article entitled *Iatrogenesis: A review on nature, extent, and distribution of healthcare hazards* states:

'The side effects and risks associated with the medical intervention are called iatrogenesis. These side effects are also called adverse drug reactions (ADRs).'

As the definition cited above indicates, iatrogenesis is not solely ADRs or side effects.

It should be noted that the article does not mention vaccines, except with respect to 'unsafe injection practice', which refers to the use of unsterilised needles and syringes, but does not include reference to the side effects of these allegedly safe injections.

The idea that medicines are harmful is definitely an unwelcome topic for the medical establishment, but the scale of the problem is too large and too significant for them to completely ignore, however much they would like to do so. Therefore, any reference to the problem will be couched in terms that suggest it is the result of improper practices of some description, rather than the actual medicines and practices that are being prescribed. This attitude can be seen on a WHO web page entitled *Medication without harm*, which states that:

'Unsafe medication practices and medication errors are a leading cause of injury and avoidable harm in health care systems across the world.'

There is of course a deeper purpose to this WHO initiative, which is to create an emphasis on systems and human errors, with a view to obtaining even further control over all medical systems in all countries.

It is obvious that the objective is not to raise fundamental questions about the underlying theories that form the basis for the use of medicines as treatments - but this is the very question that should be raised, because there is overwhelming evidence that medicines are far from beneficial.

Although again reporting solely on the situation in the U.S., the first comprehensive study to be conducted on the subject of iatrogenesis was published in 2003. This study, entitled *Death by Medicine*, collated statistics from thousands of published studies on all causes of illness. The result of this investigation revealed that the total number of deaths per year due to iatrogenesis was approximately 783,936! This figure has almost certainly risen dramatically in the intervening two decades.

Nevertheless, the study showed that the shocking figure of over three-quarters of a million deaths exceeded the annual mortality from either heart disease or cancer, which are claimed to be the first and second leading causes of death in the U.S. respectively. Furthermore, it must also be recognised that patients diagnosed with either of these conditions will almost certainly be receiving treatments of some description, many of which are known to cause harm and even death.

One of the reasons that the situation in the U.S. is so alarming is because pharmaceutical use there is one of the highest in the world. If modern medicine truly was the miracle it is claimed to be, then Americans ought to be amongst the healthiest people in the world, but this is far from a true representation of the state of health of the vast majority, many of whom take multiple medicines each day; a phenomenon known as poly-pharmacy.

The above-cited 2018 article reveals, under the heading *Extent of Iatrogenesis,* that: 'Iatrogenesis is the fifth leading cause of death in the world. There are about 5%–8% of deaths due to ADRs. In many countries, ADRs are a leading cause of death.'

ADRs are not the only component of iatrogenesis. If all aspects are taken into account, it is almost certain that iatrogenesis would be recognised as the leading cause of death in all countries where modern medicine is practised as the main system. Yet modern medicine is supposed to heal not harm, and certainly not be one of the leading causes of death!

Dr Carolyn Dean summarises the situation in her aptly named book, *Death by Modern Medicine*: 'How modern medicine has come to be the number one killer in North America is as incredible as it is horrifying. Doctors certainly don't think of themselves as killers, but as long as they promote toxic drugs and don't learn non-toxic options, they are pulling the trigger on helpless patients.'

Toxic drugs are not the only culprit; as the past two years have shown, toxic injections must also be included in any definition of the term 'iatrogenesis', and any honest investigation into this hidden phenomenon.

- ***https://whatreallymakesyouill.com***

Emerging medicine for our times

Tuning in to the mysteries of health

FREQUENCY medicine is experiencing a renaissance in the wake of covid-19. Some is being aggressively foisted on the public, including the vaccine-injured - sometimes by people with no training or background in healthcare.

by **ROB VERKERK**
abridged by **CAROLINE SHERWOOD**

Albert Einstein said that the 'future medicine will be the medicine of frequencies', and considering it is now nearly 120 years since he proposed the theory of mass-energy equivalence, many would argue that it is remarkable that energy medicine has not by now become a mainstay of medicine.

From the late 19th century, a number of remarkable pioneers paved the way for energy medicine: Einstein, Nicola Tesla, Dr Albert Abrams (1863-1924), Royal Raymond Rife (1888-1971), Dr Reinhardt Voll (1909-1989) Robert O Becker (1923 – 2008) and Prof Dr. Fritz-Albert Popp (1938-2018). Working in the emerging field of bioenergetics, they likely anticipated that the science would have progressed further by now.

Thanks in large part to the engineers, biophysicists and quantum biologists who pioneered the emerging field of frequency medicine - most of whom were ridiculed, ostracised or dismissed during their lives or posthumously - there is now an increasing understanding within the scientific community that plausible explanations of life must diverge from the prevailing biochemical, molecular, genetic and Newtonian-Cartesian concepts.

Biophysics (a discipline of huge diversity about which there is little general consensus) reveals that the much-studied biochemical and molecular processes in all living systems are entirely dependent on electrical and electromagnetic energies.

You discover what you look for, and remain ignorant of what you ignore, and due to a lack of concerted research effort and funding, we do not have a cohesive and generally accepted body of evidence demonstrating the mechanisms and benefits of medical or health-related technologies that work with the energy systems of the body.

Nearly all mainstream research into non-molecular based energy systems (energy that's not related to the body's biochemical fuel) have been expended on developing specific diagnostic technologies. There's been almost no prioritisation of research by major institutions that aims to explore how different ways of working with the body's energy fields might be able to benefit the treatment of disease – or indeed prevent disease.

Accordingly, with very few exceptions (notably Germany, Austria, Switzerland and Russia), mainstream treatment of disease almost entirely excludes consideration of the body's electromagnetic energy system (biofield).


By comparison, diagnostics that *depend* on these energy systems can be found in every hospital: electrocardiographic recordings of the heart's pulse in electrocardiograms (ECGs); electroencephalograms (EEGs), magnetoencephalography (MEG) of the brain, magnetoneurography of peripheral nerves, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

It seems that the most viable reason for why this crucial system has been so neglected in terms of its use for treatment is down to the pharmaceutical industry's purposeful anchoring of treatment modalities in the areas of biochemistry and, more recently, molecular biology.

Big Pharma's desire to stick with its disease model has been based heavily on 'medicalising' states of health, while creating extremely lucrative patents for new-to-nature molecules, justified by biochemical, molecular or genetic mechanisms.



Rob Verkerk



Raymond Rife with a Rife machine

The notion that energy (aka chi or prana) flows throughout and around the body through a biofield network that may be viewed as auras, or interconnected via meridians or chakras, is viewed by most mainstream doctors and health scientists as being too 'woo-woo' to be taken seriously - despite these systems having been recognised for thousands of years.

Acupuncture, acupressure, reflexology, homeopathy, Reiki, Qigong, distance healing, flower remedies, magnet therapy, phototherapy (to name a few), as well as a diverse range of frequency medicine machines, all work with the human energetic biofield.

Each year, much effort is expended by defendants of the pharmaceutical model, as witnessed by content on websites such as Science-Based Medicine and Quackwatch, to ensure such modalities are viewed as pseudoscience or quackery.

This might keep those who are wedded and trusting of the mainstream medical model away – but the millions who are open to energy medicine continue to use elements of it, based mainly on their own positive experiences with it. Others appreciate there is a very long history of safe use of different energy medicine modalities, and that the science is only recently starting to emerge.

At the heart of the attacks on energy medicine are claims that these modalities lack a plausible or known mechanism of action. Many fail to recognise that these critics, as Socrates observed, don't know what they don't know.

We could take it one step further, they choose not to investigate what they don't know – especially when it comes to the field of bioenergy medicine.

- *first of a four-part series.*

References

- ***https://tinyurl.com/jb8r75ak***
- ***https://www.bmj.com/content/324/7342/886.1***
- ***https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4654786/***
- ***https://tinyurl.com/2u5v4cmj***
- ***https://tinyurl.com/y6jxkktm***
- ***https://tinyurl.com/4e8pajam***

- ***Rob Verkerk PhD, is the founder, executive and scientific director of the Alliance for Natural Health International and the Scientific director of ANH-USA (https://www.anh-usa.org)***
- ***Caroline Sherwood arranged this series and is the author of three books: (https://www.goodreads.com/author/show/1080735.Caroline_Sherwood)***

The war on masculinity

by **BEN HUNT**

IN last month's column we looked at how our elders have been rebranded 'burdens on society' or even 'useless eaters'. But this is just one of many fronts in the System's war against humanity.

Another quite obvious campaign is being waged against men.

You have likely heard the phrase 'toxic masculinity', which has recently come into general use to discredit masculine behaviours.

The implication is that certain natural male traits are somehow undesirable.

Of course, we should say that there are cases in which the term could be applied accurately, when domination and rage are expressed inappropriately. Nobody is justifying abuse, but perhaps such cases could also be understood as men resorting to their innate biological responses in trying to cope when they find themselves trapped in an insane society.

Either way, does it mean that men should strive to suppress their natural instincts and to try to behave more like women? Why should that even be a bad thing?

Of course, it is absolutely no bad thing to celebrate the feminine — quite the opposite! But why can't that continue to be the domain of women? Why make men more feminine?

We might even wonder whether the obvious war against meat could even be a cover for the drive to subvert manhood, with its push to promote soy-based products, loaded with emasculating phytoestrogens, in place of our natural , ancient and species-appropriate source of nutrition.

The way society is being pushed, even something as fundamental as our gender is now being presented as just another lifestyle choice.

And therein lies the real evil.

Under the smokescreen of words like 'equality' and 'justice', society is being driven down a dangerous path where even something as natural as sex will ultimately cease to have any meaning.

Let's be clear: 'equal' does not — and should never — mean 'the same'. As the saying goes, 'God did not create women to do everything men can do. God created women to do everything men cannot do.'

We were not made the same. Genesis 5:2 says, "Male and female He created them, and He blessed them." To force us to meet in the middle neutralises both the divine masculine and the divine feminine, and is really an unforgivable curse against all that is good and holy.



Photo: Sander Sammy

In fact, the binary nature of the two sexes is a source of immense potential. Just like with magnets, strong poles create powerful forces. To seek to make the poles the same does not create power, but neutralises both.

Surely it is ultimately about control. The Owners need ever-greater control, so they need us to be controllable. Maybe the goal is not to make us more like women, but rather like infants, dependent and very little threat to them.

Yet this is all a distraction strategy because, all the while, the real domination continues.

The System has always been built on the principle of dominance, and throughout history, that power has been wielded overwhelmingly by men.

It is now trying to exert as much control as it ever has, over billions of souls on a worldwide scale.

It has ensured that violence is a tool reserved for the use of the powerful, while being criminalised for the subservient.

But now the System, run as it is by a small number of psychopathic men, is gaslighting us by reframing responsible masculinity as 'toxic masculinity'.

What it is in fact doing is projecting the violence and domination that it personifies, onto the rest of us, the 99% of men peacefully going about our daily lives.

Never forget that language is the System's primary tool of domination, because its control is illusory. The Owners do not have enough real power to control the 99% through overt force, which is why they must trick us with deception.

Their information channels whisper constantly into our ears, making us believe lies, persuading us that we and others are wrong, that the world is a horrible and dangerous place, and — ultimately — that the only salvation can be found through our accepting to live under ever-greater control.

So we are supposed to apologise for being who we are and doing what comes naturally. It wants us to equate those two words: toxic, and masculine, and make them inseparable.

This is typical of the Owners' playbook, using the majority as scapegoats for their sins, and language to change thoughts.

Those who do not make the decisions, who do not start the wars, who do not fly on private jets to Davos, who do not burn the rainforests or pour poison into the waterways… we are the guilty ones.

Evidently the System does not want men to exhibit manly behaviours, but why?

Men and women are capable of great selflessness, putting aside their own comfort or safety so that their families and children might have the chance to enjoy comfort and safety.

And that selflessness constitutes a clear and present danger to the System's interests. Of course, it wouldn't be an issue if the central bankers and all their cronies really wanted to make society work for the benefit of all, but they don't, do they? They both despise and fear us; they fear the 99%, and for very good reason.

Deep down, the reason is that these psychopathic scum who float on top of the very real labour and wealth of the rest of us are not on the side of the angels.

Despite all the green rhetoric, these creatures despise nature, detest everything that God and faith represent, and have nothing but contempt for natural law.

Because divine, natural law does not make mistakes. It is tuned to create endless beauty and variety and polarity and richness and abundance and joy and wonder. It does all this for the benefit of all life and in the service of all life.

The System, on the other hand, just wants to snatch as much as it can for itself.

As William Burroughs described it so poetically: "What does the money machine eat? It eats youth, spontaneity, life, beauty and above all it eats creativity. It eats quality and shits out quantity."

We are not willing to be controlled. We are not willing to be transformed into something less than human, because humanity is an awe-inspiring expression of the beauty and power of creation.

Men don't want to be women. Women don't want to be men.

Let men be men, and make sure they know the responsibility of being the best men they can be. Let's raise our sons to place the ultimate value on their integrity and honour and strength and valour. Let them be in no doubt that their responsibility to protect the tribe comes first.

These masculine traits have never been toxic, not in a healthy and right-thinking society. We refuse to allow them to be branded that way.

So we choose to celebrate men and manhood, not because the day may come when they will be called upon to stand up and shield their loved ones… but because that day is already here.

● ***https://theredpillrevolution.com***

Letters to The LIGHT

Got something to say? Outraged that this paper exists? Want to praise us for being the only widely circulated newspaper giving a voice to the alternative, the censored, the cancelled and the forgotten? Love the paper, but have to disagree with one article? *Please email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk*

DEAR The Light,

Never could I have imagined that 'mass consciousness of fear' would pervade Western democracies, let alone public institutions, private and independent organisations in the USA, Europe, Australia, Canada, and international NGOs of all kinds.

The media is silent or silenced, public servants at all levels of society are afraid to speak up; nobody is willing to 'bell the cat'.

Hopefully many more well-known figures will come out to help challenge the narrative of the past three years on lockdowns, mask wearing, covid vaccinations and future orchestrations of all government mandates without fair time for public scrutiny and evidential credence.

To allow a fear-centred agenda to drive government decision-making in the name of scientific evidence which was not properly challenged nor allowed to be aired in public, has diminished governments' credibility across the whole world.

The opportunity for a better world is right in front of us, and hopefully Baroness Hallett's inquiry will shed light on these issues sooner rather than later. Our loyalty must first and foremost be to our nation as citizens, and not to any vested interests which throughout human history eventually damage the coherence of a nation and for that matter the world at large.

Vincent Adika

....

DEAR The Light,

As a climate activist, I can appreciate *The Light* as a source of information, opinions and for an overview of current world events.

It advocates many of the same ideals espoused within the peace and climate movements, and with its priority for people to think for themselves, question everything and do no harm, I can associate with its objectives, as I perceive them.

Within all the great philosophies is the core maxim, expressed in various ways, that one should not treat others as one would not like to be treated. If adhered to in word and deed, this cuts out all the hypocrisy constructed by human self-deception to justify lying, cheating, stealing, violence and conflict, as practised in all current organisations and institutions.

In the world today there is much evil, and there's no doubt humanity is at a crossroads; seeking love and peace within ourselves and with each other to oppose this evil has never been more necessary, not only for our survival, but for all living things on Earth to flourish.

However, having said all that in recommendation, Karen's "...better dead than red" reply might raise a few cheap laughs, but it is dangerously simplistic and does a disservice to all those genuine communistic endeavours of alternative communities over the centuries.

Recommended reading on the situation: 'Oneness vs the 1%' by Dr Vandana Shiva.

Yours Fraternally,

Malcolm

....

DEAR The Light,

With reference to the article 'A Memo To Tyranny' in the most recent Light paper:

I have noticed in the 'truth' movement a tendency to promote Christianity as some spiritual and moral benchmark.

The article mentions the Cross; could I point out how much tyranny has been perpetrated by this symbol? Where do you begin? The destruction of the classical world, the torture and execution of thousands of so-called pagans, heretics, witches and indigenous cultures. The Crusades, the Inquisition, the repression and hatred of nature and the feminine, the sexual abuses in the Catholic Church whose leader pushed the jab, sitting on billions of assets, as also did the Church of England, whilst each bemoan the fate of the poor.

Please, less of the salvationist creeds and more of a movement toward spirituality that connects to nature, real peace, love and equity.

Dave Gee

....

DEAR The Light,

I've really enjoyed 'stickering' whilst at the 'Media Is The Virus' protests at Salford Media City.

After the last one in January, where I was given a box full of White Rose stickers by a kindly lady, I still had loads left after doing well over an hour of plastering the windows and handing them out to others.

I didn't see her again, so I brought the stickers home, ready for the rally in Manchester on Feb 18.

I've also been taking them out with me on my weekend walks and sticking them in places that I deem to be public property, i.e. paid for by our taxes - lamp posts, bus shelters, signs, bins, parking meters, notice boards, etc.

My suggestion to *The Light* readers is for us all to avail ourselves of some stickers, and take them with us whenever we go for a walk... it won't be long before we've covered a large part of the UK.

I think it would be an excellent way to reach the unaware general public - if they begin to see these messages on a regular basis wherever they go, maybe they'll begin questioning and researching.

It's a similar sort of approach to the UK Government's promotion of social-distancing and face-coverings which seemed to work so well with the, at the time, 'un-questioning': spread the message everywhere.

Keep on shining the light.

Yours faithfully,

Adrian Lord

....

DEAR The Light,

Wind farms by their very nature have a limited lifespan (25-30 years). So there is the question of who will be paying for the safe disposal of both onshore and offshore wind farms in the near future?

Will offshore wind farms be left to rot, becoming no-go zones for both shipping and fishing? What are the plans to dispose of numerous onshore monstrosities - are the blades and towers to be buried in the ground?

To exacerbate this nightmare scenario, are we expecting wind farms to be eventually replaced by more monstrous generators, further compounding the problem? It does not require that much imagination to realise who will be picking up the tab, which will probably be reflected in everyone's power bills. Perhaps your local political representative, if asked about aging wind farms, will offer an acceptable and meaningful solution – but don't hold your breath.

Dave Haskell

....

DEAR The Light,

Is it true there are no longer free copies of the paper to distribute? How do we continue to spread the other side of the news to our family, friends and neighbours?


Anne Ashwirth

....

Hi Anne,

*For over a year we have swallowed up to 50% increases in printing and transport costs, so rather than raising prices, we are asking all activists and freedom-focused groups to pre-order their copies from **https://thelightpaper.co.uk/bulk-order** from now on if they can.*


Thank you!


The JOSEPH Communications
Many Voices, One Mission
Group Soul wisdom from the Joseph perspective
Michael G. Reccia
R. Jane Kneen
For spiritual seekers expecting answers, not platitudes.
In the 480-page Many Voices, One Mission, twenty highly-evolved spirit guides deliver wisdom, detailed insights and practical advice in contemporary, no-nonsense language.
Their communications will comfort, inform and inspire in equal measure, encouraging you to approach this life and the next from a totally different, liberating perspective.
Themed sections examine: Life's Journey; Aspects of the Afterlife; The Sacred Earth; Spiritual Science; Co-Creation; Connections; Spiritual Living; Health and Healing and more.
Many Voices, One Mission is bold enough to ask the big spiritual questions…
…And daring enough to answer them.
Paperback available from: thejosephcommunications.co.uk or amazon.co.uk
or send cheque for £18.95 (inc. p&p) made payable to: Band of Light Media Ltd. to: 10 Sparrable Row, Briercliffe, Burnley, Lancashire, BB10 3QW.
Paperbacks—ebooks—audiobooks
thejosephcommunications.co.uk
amazon.co.uk
The JOSEPH Communications


PRIVACY PHONES & LAPTOPS
LINEAGE OS - BASED ON ANDROID
LINUX MINT - FEELS LIKE WINDOWS
FROM JUST £199
DO NOT LET YOUR PHONE OR PC SPY ON YOU!
Smartphones and PC's gather your data, capturing in great detail where you are, who you are and what you're doing 24/7.
Step away from surveillance by switching to one of our DeGoogled phones or Linux Laptops Pre-loaded with a full suite of amazing apps that do not track you or spy on you.
£199 16GB NEW PHONE
SAMSUNG GALAXY S5 (Removable battery)
£275 64GB
GOOGLE PIXEL 3A
£329 128GB
SAMSUNG GALAXY S10E (Dual Sim)
£369 128GB 108MP CAMERA
MOTOROLA EDGE 20 (High Spec)
MID RANGE 14" T450/T460
256GB SSD / 8-16GB RAM £349
HIGH END 14" T470
256GB SSD / 8-16GB RAM £445
512GB SSD / 8-16GB RAM £475
1TB SSD / 8-16GB RAM £595
PREMIUM SPEC 14" T480/T490
1TB SSD / 16-24GB RAM - £695
2TB SSD / 16-24GB RAM - £795
PDF Guide included - Consultations and technical assistance available
WWW.ACTIVISTSTOOLBOX.COM/SHOP


Covid Crisis
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G etc . . .
Stay informed with us!
https://www.freecitizen.uk/
Free Citizen UK


WELCOME TO THE SKINCARE REVOLUTION
100% NATURAL, NON-STEROID SKINCARE, HANDMADE WITH A POTENT DOSE OF OUR HOMEGROWN, ORGANIC HERBS AT OUR FARM IN SOMERSET, BY WORKERS EARNING ABOVE THE LIVING WAGE.
NOT JUST FOR THE BOURGEOISIE
AWAKE AND STANDING FOR FREEDOM
WE ARE NOT A PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY
AWARD-WINNING, FIVE STAR RATED SKINCARE AND BEAUTY FOR ALL THE FAMILY AND ALL SKIN TYPES, INCLUDING SENSITIVE SKIN.
USE DISCOUNT CODE AWAKE FOR 20% OFF STOREWIDE.
WWW.LYONSLEAF.CO.UK
LYONSLEAF
REPUBLIC OF NATURAL SKINCARE


Become an empowered member today!
empower the people
Members together, in trust, for the betterment of mankind.
Sign up to our private association and enjoy free educational content and exclusive access to the many Benefits and Privileges on offer.
Get connected within our growing community and learn from an experienced and dedicated team. Refer others and be rewarded.
Let's co-create the communities we want to see flourish and thrive.
empowerthepeople.earth
Follow us: Linktr.ee/empowerthepeopleuk

FEEL LIKE YOU AGAIN

QUNUBU is the very first known word used for what we now know as cannabis. Our logo represents the Tree of Life as the cannabis tree has literally been that for so many, for so long.



Qunubu CBD was born out of a fiery passion to help support the health, comfort and happiness of our customers, and so that you don't have to, we carefully source UK code-compliant premium CBD infused products designed to harness the power of nature to maintain your overall quality of life.

Qunubu CBD oil - Full spectrum • Organic GMO free 1000mg oil • Individually batch tested for purity, flavour and strength.

Qunubu fruit gummies - THC free • 15mg CBD per gummy.

Qunubu balm - Organic coconut oil • unfiltered beeswax • 500mg CBD isolate.

HIGH QUALITY IONIC COLLOIDAL SILVER

ANTIBACTERIAL, ANTIVIRAL, ANTIFUNGAL AND IMMUNE SUPPORT

eCS Colloidal Silver is a health supplement of pure ionized silver in pharmaceutical grade reverse osmosis water which has viricidal, bactericidal, fungicidal and general anti-microbial properties. eCS Colloidal Silver assists the human body to overcome infections and support the body's immune system.



Some of the benefits of eCS Colloidal Silver:

1. Natural anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal and anti-microbial properties, supporting the immune system.
2. Safe to use for babies, small children, pregnant women, nursing mothers and even your animals.
3. Non-toxic, non-addictive and has no known side effects.
4. Can be used orally, topically, internally, atomized, nebulised, dropped into the eyes, ears and sprayed into the nose.

FOR MORE INFO CONTACT CRAIG KEDDA: doc@qunubucbd.co.uk • 07395296265 • www.qunubucbd.co.uk • www.wlast.co.uk

The Trust Works

All Rights Reserved in Trust Under God

Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust. That way You will own Nothing, Control Everything and be Very Happy about it! **What You don't Own, Can't be taken away from You.**

www.thetrustworks.co.uk

SUPERB DETACHED HOUSE AVAILABLE IN NEW FOREST

Looking to rent a house privately?

Our very spacious detached house with double garage and forecourt is located in an exclusive road about a mile or so outside Ringwood.

With about half an acre of wrap around gardens, surrounded by trees, it has three bedrooms and three bathrooms and three receptions, with built in wardrobes and lots of storage space.

Offered on very special and advantageous terms to the right couple in exchange for a little help.

Please telephone

07434880043

Grander Water Revitalisation

Secure Water for the Whole Family



For over 40 years Grander has provided bespoke whole house water revitalisation systems delivering healthy, refreshing filtered water on tap to homes worldwide, everyday.

Easy installation, low maintenance, NO chemicals or electricity.

Grander supports your conscious lifestyle choices in harmony with nature and the human body.

Whole house systems from £3,525
Finance available.



GRANDER WATER

granderwater.co.uk 0333 390 9479

waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk

THE LIGHT RECRUIT

Sales and Customer Service Representative

Full Time
Permanent
Stratford-upon-Avon

The ideal candidate will have previous experience in a similar customer facing position, ideally within jewellery sales, with knowledge of hallmarks, coloured stones and diamonds.

Ref: KFJ001 KNIGHTS FINE JEWELLERY

Personal Assistant

Flexi Hours
Permanent
Bridgend, Wales

Personal assistant for a disabled mum of two. The successful candidate must have their own car with room for a wheelchair. Good rate of pay, double pay on weekends and bank holidays and an annual bonus.

Ref: NPA001

THE LIGHT RECRUIT : COURSES

NCFE CACHE Level 2 Certificate in Counselling Skills
N E Essex Psychologies (NEEP) Training

NCFE CACHE Level 3 Diploma in Counselling Skills
N E Essex Psychologies (NEEP) Training

Life Coach Level 1, 2 & 3 Diploma
Luna Holistics

Christoff Certified Self-Sabotage Coach (CCSS Coach)
Jason Christoff - Freedom From Self-Sabotage

Artemis Birth Attendant Course
Artemis Birth Attendant Academy

For more information or to see the full course description, please visit our website.

To apply, please email your CV, quoting the reference of the position you are applying for. For more information or to see the full job specification, please visit our website.

www.thelightrecruit.co.uk info@thelightrecruit.co.uk @thelightrecruit


Naturopath.Clinic
Health and Fitness
Naturopathic Medicine
Nutritional Therapy, Functional Testing
Nutri-Genomics, Herbs, Nutraceuticals
Hormone and GUT Specialist, Support for Chronic Conditions, Check Ups
Consultations in Cardiff and On Line
www.Naturopath.Clinic
enquiries@Naturopath.Clinic
01443 440299


The
Autonomy
hotline
0333 772 1227
www.theautonomyhotline.org
Autonomyhotline@protonmail.com
* Resisting Coercion in Health and Social Care *


SCAN ME
The Crystal Whisperer
15% OFF
CODE: LIGHT15
VALID UNTIL 31ST MARCH 2023
Oracle & Shamanic Card Readings
Shamanic Healing / Spiritual Guidance / Healing Relationships / Healing trauma
Healing Addictions / Healing for PMS, PMDD & Menopause / House Clearing / Dream analysis
Chakra Cleanse & Balance / Animal Healing / Negative Energy Removal / Cord Cutting
Protection / Releasing Limiting Beliefs / Healing The Shadow / Deep Cleanse & Purification
annie@thecrystalwhisperer.co.uk
TheCrystalWhispererHealer
@the.crystal.whisperer
www.thecrystalwhisperer.co.uk / Remote Sessions / Money Back Guarantee
To redeem, reference the code when booking your chosen session


Shop Holistic
"Natural Health Products for Healthy Living"
Use coupon code: LIGHT0323 for 10% off!
~ Established for over 18 years
~ High quality products, amazing prices
~ Items selected by our Nutritional Therapist & Kinesiologist
~ High Quality Organically sourced Aromatherapy oils & products, prepared to order in our '5' rated Food Grade facilities
~ Always sourced ethically & responsibly
Holistic Well-being: A New Paradigm Shift by Shop Holistic owner, Danielle M Bryant
Over 500 pages & 92 Chapters on Health for Body, Mind & Spirit, written in alignment with German New Medicine. Signed copies available!
www.ShopHolistic.com
We accept Cash, Bank Transfer, Card Payments, Paypal & Cryptocurrency!


The Old Cider House
Glastonbury
Holiday Cottages
West Pennard – Glastonbury
Recently refurbished and tastefully modernised throughout, these beautifully presented cottages are tucked away in a 5 acre estate in the quiet and sought after village of West Pennard.
- Weddings & Events
- Yurts & Teepees on Request
- Peaceful, Tucked Away Location
- 2 Miles from Glastonbury
- 5 Acres with Tor Views to Roam
info: 07584 093 444
www.oldciderhouse.co.uk
The Old Cider House, West Pennard, Glastonbury


REBEL
Instabanned
THE REVOLUTION
TELEVISED
ILLUMINA-T.COM
CLOTHING FOR FREEDOM FIGHTERS, CRITICAL THINKERS AND TRUTH SEEKERS


Tasty Landscapes
A one man gardening operation to help you eat fresher, healthier food that you have grown yourself.
Services
• Design & creation of food gardens
• Chicken / Duck / Rabbit Enclosures
• Homesteading tuition
• General garden maintenance
From balconies & patios to large gardens. Make your space more useful than ornamental.
• Atherstone
• Tamworth
• Nuneaton
• Measham
• Lichfield
• Solihull
• Sutton Coldfield
And all surrounding villages
Email: kev@tastylandscapes.co.uk
Website: www.tastylandscapes.co.uk





This Light Paper is distributed by: